Ultrasound (US) and Computed Tomographic (CT) Appearances of Large (Giant) Hepatic Cavernous Hemangiomas
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Summary

The sonographic and CT appearances of 9 large cavernous hemangiomas of the liver were studied. On sonography, 6 masses (67%) exhibit heterogeneous echo pattern; where in 2 patients the echotexture was a mixture of hypoechoic and isoechoic areas and in 4 patients there are varying amounts of bright hyperreflective areas similar to the texture typical of small hemangiomas. The masses were predominantly hypoechoic in the remaining 3 patients (33%). Incremental bolus or bolus-infusion dynamic CT showed peripheral contrast enhancement of varying intensities and thickness in all patients. The lesions were incorrectly diagnosed as hepatomas in 4 patients, suspected as hemangiomas with a differential diagnosis of hepatomas in 4 patients and an early liver abscess in 1 patient. It is concluded that large cavernous hemangiomas of the liver do not exhibit the typical homogenous hyperreflective echo texture as exhibited by small lesions and they mimic primary and secondary hepatic neoplasms. However, the diagnosis of hemangioma should be entertained when such a mass contains bright hyperreflective areas within its heterogeneous echo pattern and exhibit peripheral enhancement on contrast enhanced CT. In addition to correlation with appropriate clinical information, confirmation of diagnosis include delayed scanning during a routine incremental bolus dynamic CT, single-slice dynamic contrast enhanced CT, angiography or isotope scintigraphy and magnetic resonance imaging depending on the availability of facility.
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Introduction

Cavernous hemangioma of the liver is rare. Its frequency at autopsy is reported to be 7.3%. The lesion is usually asymptomatic and less than 3 cm. Lesions more than 4 cm are termed 'giant hemangiomas'. With widespread use of ultrasound and CT to evaluate the abdomen, cavernous hemangiomas are detected with increasing frequency as incidental findings. Its incidence at imaging by sonography, CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may approach 15%. Unfortunately they are discovered on imaging of the abdomen for other reasons such as staging of malignancy which poses problem in diagnosis. Familiarity with the variety of sonographic and CT features of hemangioma is important to avoid misdiagnosis.

The classical sonographic appearances of a hepatic cavernous hemangioma is that of a homogenous hyperreflective mass with a well defined margin usually seen with small lesions less than 2 cm. On CT, the accepted criteria for diagnosis which is based on contrast enhanced single-slice dynamic CT technique,
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include: (a) a low density lesion on unenhanced scan (b) early peripheral enhancement after bolus of intravenous contrast injection, (c) progressive centripetal opacification and (d) isodense filling-in with or without unopacified clefts occurring not less than 3 minutes after contrast injection. Problem in the diagnosis of cavernous hemangiomas occurs, because not all hemangiomas exhibit typical sonographic or CT appearances. There is a tendency for large lesions to exhibit heterogeneity in echotexture on sonography. Approximately 40% of cavernous hemangiomas show mixed or reduced echogenicity mimicking sonographic appearance of cancer. The pattern of dynamic enhancement seen on a single-slice dynamic CT is lacking with the routinely employed bolus or bolus-infusion incremental CT. Our encounter with several cases of large or giant cavernous hemangiomas misdiagnosed as hepatomas led us to restudy the ultrasound and CT images of 8 lesions to determine whether any of the typical features previously described on ultrasound and single-slice dynamic CT were present to allow correct diagnosis.

Materials and Methods

This is a study on 9 patients (7 females, 2 males), seen from 1985 to 1992. Their ages ranged from 30 to 63 years (mean 50 years). Hemangiomas were confirmed by biopsy in 3 patients and hepatic angiography in the remaining 6 patients. Four patients were referred from other hospitals with CT. The remaining 5 were patients who had CT examinations in our hospital using Toshiba 9005. Contrast enhancement is achieved by the routine technique of bolus or bolus-infusion incremental scanning, where a rapid bolus of 100 cc or rapid bolus of 100 cc followed by infusion of 50 cc of water soluble contrast medium. Scanning was carried out at the end of bolus injection with slices of 10 mm thickness at 10 mm intervals. All patients had ultrasound examinations in our institution, using the 3.75 MHz sector and convex probes of the Toshiba 100A.

The clinical diagnosis of the patients were: suspected liver tumour (6), cholelithiasis (2) and liver abscess (1). All the 4 cases referred from other institutions were diagnosed as hepatomas. On ultrasound, the features reviewed were location, size, echogenicity and margin of lesion, whereas on CT apart from the size and location of lesion, the pattern of enhancement was also assessed.

Results

The size of the masses ranged from 4 to 12 cm, with a mean of 10 cm. The masses were located in the right lobe only (4), both right and left lobes (3) and left lobe only (2). In 2 patients, the hemangiomas were multiple. One patient with a mass in the left lobe had diffuse hemangioma in the right lobe detected on angiography and manifested as a diffusely hypereflective bright right lobe. The other patient had the large hemangioma in the right lobe and a smaller one in the left lobe. This smaller lesion exhibited the typical sonographic appearances of a hemangioma; round well-defined mass with homogenous bright hyperechoic pattern (Figure 1). The echopattern of the large masses were heterogeneous in 6 (67%) and predominantly hypoechoic in 3 (37%) (Figure 2). Of the 6 lesions showing heterogenous pattern, 2 exhibited mixture of hyperechoic and hypoechoic areas (Figure 3) and the remaining 4 demonstrated varying amounts of bright hyperechoic areas (Figure 4). The walls of all masses were well-defined with varying degrees of lobulations. In none of these cases was there acoustic enhancement. All lesions showed hypodensity on plain CT and peripheral enhancement of varying density and thickness (Figure 5) on contrast enhanced CT. Calcifications were seen in 2 cases.

Discussion

The classical echo pattern of homogenous hyperechogenicity for cavernous hemangiomas is seen in 58-73% of cases, usually with small lesions. Larger lesions exhibit heterogenous pattern which mimic neoplasms. On incremental CT, a technique routinely employed to assess focal lesions in the liver, the pattern of enhancement of a particular scan slice lacks the dynamic enhancement pattern seen with single-slice contrast enhanced dynamic CT. The inhomogenous appearance could lead to a misdiagnosis of malignant neoplasm of the liver. It is important that the two
Fig. 1: Typical sonographic appearance of a small hemangioma. The lesion is round, well-defined and of homogenous bright hyperechogenicity.

Fig. 2: Hemangioma which is predominantly hypoechoic.

Fig. 3: Hemangioma exhibiting heterogenous echopattern with mixture of hyperechoic and hypoechoic areas.

Fig. 4: Hemangioma exhibiting heterogenous echopattern with varying amounts of bright hyperechoic areas.

Fig. 5: CT of hemangioma showing lobulated peripheral enhancement.
conditions be differentiated to avert unwarranted biopsies of cavernous hemangiomas which can cause morbidity and mortality. To facilitate correct diagnosis familiarity with the characteristics of cavernous hemangioma on ultrasound and the CT of the liver as obtained by the routine technique of incremental bolus or bolus-infusion contrast enhanced CT is required.

Our observations indicate that although the echo pattern of 'giant cavernous hemangiomas' is heterogenous, bright hyperechoic components of varying amounts are common. If a particular bright hyperechoic component is scrutinised it bears resemblance to the classical pattern seen with small cavernous hemangiomas. This feature should prompt us to suspect that the liver mass in question is a cavernous hemangioma rather than a neoplasm. If the lesion is suspected during a routine incremental bolus CT, delayed scans at appropriate time intervals until 60 minutes should be performed. Delayed images will show varying extent of isodense contrast fill-in within 93% of hemangiomas.

Definitive diagnosis can also be obtained by single-slice dynamic CT. If the typical pattern of dynamic enhancement is present, and there is correlation with the clinical setting, the diagnosis of cavernous hemangioma is established and no further imaging is required. However, hepatic cavernous hemangiomas are shown to fulfill the characteristic features for diagnosis in 54-79% of cases. It should be emphasised that relevant clinical and biochemical data should also be taken into consideration in making a specific diagnosis of hepatic lesions.

In a study of 8 giant cavernous hemangioma by Scatarige et al, 7 out of 8 masses were hypodense on unenhanced scans and all masses showed early peripheral enhancement and partial centripetal isodense filling-in even on delayed scans. In our series, all the 8 lesions exhibit peripheral enhancement of varying density and thickness. This pattern of enhancement is a feature in the dynamic enhancement pattern described on single-slice dynamic CT. We regard the presence of such a feature warrants consideration of cavernous hemangioma. The variation in the density of the peripheral enhancement is probably related to the different volumes and timing of scanning sequence of the CT examinations.

Other imaging modalities that offer specific diagnosis include selective hepatic angiography, 99mTc labelled - red blood cells isotope scintigraphy and MRI. The appearance of cavernous hemangioma on hepatic angiography is diagnostic, but the technique is invasive. It should perhaps be reserved when the results of other non-invasive techniques such as isotope scintigraphy and MRI are also inconclusive. The classic scintigraphic finding on a Te99m red blood cell scan (blood pool study) is a perfusion blood-pool mismatch whereby there is decreased activity on early dynamic images and increased activity on delayed blood pool images. Isotope scintigraphy has been shown to achieve sensitivity of 89%, specificity of 100% and accuracy of 95%. This modality, however, is not widely available. MRI has recently been found to exhibit features which allows specific diagnosis of cavernous hemangioma to be made. It has been shown that generally most hemangiomas have longer T2 relaxation times than most primary or secondary malignant hepatic lesions. Hemangioma typically demonstrates a homogenously increased signal (light bulb sign). However, there is overlap of appearance in some cases. Using several spin-echo T1 and T2 - weighted and inversion recovery sequences, MR correctly differentiated hepatic hemangioma from malignant tumour with a 90% sensitivity, 92% specificity and overall accuracy of 90%.

Conclusion

In summary, giant cavernous hemangiomas of the liver exhibit inhomogenous echo pattern which do not conform to the classical features described with small lesions. However, it is not uncommon to find bright hyperechoic components within the heterogenous echopattern, that match the echopattern of small cavernous hemangioma. Peripheral enhancement, which is a phase in the dynamic contrast enhancement pattern of a single-slice contrast enhanced CT appears to be a consistent feature in the incremental contrast enhanced CT. The presence of bright hyperechoic components in a heterogenous liver mass on ultrasound and peripheral enhancement on CT indicates the possibility of
cavernous hemangioma. Confirmation requires further radiological examinations such as dynamic single-slice contrast enhanced CT, angiography, blood pool scintigraphy and MRI, if available.
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