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SUMMARY
This is our initial report on the first 4 cases of infra-renal abdominal aortic aneurysm undergoing Endovascular Aneurysm Repair (EVAR) with local anaesthesia, controlled sedation and monitoring by an anaesthetist. All four patients were males with a mean age of 66.7 years. Only one required ICU stay of two days for cardiac monitoring due to bradycardia and transient hypotension post procedure. No mortality or major post operative morbidity was recorded and the mean hospital stay post procedure was 3.5 days (range 2-5 days).
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INTRODUCTION
Endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR) was first introduced in 1990 as a minimally invasive procedure alternative to the conventional open surgical repair, with the aim to reduce mortality and morbidity1. Presently EVAR has become an acceptable treatment option for patients with infra-renal aortic aneurysms (AAA) and in some centers the first line option in anatomically suitable AAA2. It has proven to reduce certain classes of morbidity and hospital length of stay with conflicting results regarding reduction in mortality rates3. Various anaesthetic techniques have been used for EVAR which include general anaesthesia (GA), regional (epidural and spinal anaesthesia) and local anaesthesia (LA) with or without monitored anaesthesia care4,5. The introduction of the device is usually through a trans-femoral route via a groin incision or by a percutaneous technique and therefore eliminating the need for cross clamping of the aorta and hence avoiding the complications associated with cross clamping. This surgical approach makes local anaesthesia possible as an anaesthetic technique and eliminating the potential risk of mortality and morbidity of general anaesthesia. A few authors have reported the feasibility of the use of local anaesthesia with sedation for EVAR. Henretta et al reported no operative death or cardiopulmonary adverse events in 47 patients who underwent EVAR with LA6. Verhoeven et al also reported on the safety of local anaesthesia for EVAR and associated improvement in pulmonary morbidity and decreased hospital stay6.

The aim of this report is to highlight our preliminary experience in four patients with infra-renal aortic aneurysm who underwent EVAR using LA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This is a retrospective review of patients with infra-renal abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) who underwent an EVAR procedure under LA during the period between January 2011 to 30th September 2011. All data were obtained from patient’s records, and it included the demographics, mortality or major post operative morbidity, American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) classification, morphology of AAA, co-morbidities, length of postoperative stay, ICU admission, 30-day post operative mortality and morbidity.

Prior to surgery, all patients underwent routine pre-operative anaesthetic clinical assessment. The patients were all informed regarding the risk and benefits of EVAR procedure and the potential for conversion to GA or open surgery and long term follow-up. Selection of the patients was decided by the consultant vascular surgeon based on the poor respiratory and cardiac status of the patients making GA a much higher risk. Preoperative assessment, planning, axial length of aneurysm and graft size measurements were done by the operating team using CT angiogram with image reconstruction software.

Operative Technique
All procedures were done by a team comprising vascular surgeons, radiographer and anaesthesiologists in an operation theatre setting equipped with digital C-arm image intensifier. The stent grafts were introduced via bilateral femoral cut-down. The stent grafts used were all ENDURANT® AAA Stent Graft System (Medtronic Santa Rosa, USA).

All patients were fasted for a minimum of 8 hours preoperatively. Premedication with 2 mg oral midazolam was administered to all patients. A single dose of prophylactic antibiotic was administered on induction. Intra-operative monitoring included electrocardiogram (ECG), arterial blood pressure, trans-cutaneous oxygen saturation. All patients were given sedation with intravenous midazolam 0.05 - 0.2 mg/kg IV and fentanyl 50-150 microgram IV bolus, and occasionally propofol 25-75 microgram /kg bolus in titrated doses so as to minimize patient movement which can affect imaging and device placement. Oxygen (3L/min) was supplied through a nasal cannula. Local anaesthesia was achieved by infiltrating 2% Lignocaine/Marcaine in the groins and the cut down done in the standard way to identify the common femoral, superficial femoral and profunda femoris arteries which is mobilized and looped(silastic). Insertion of the stent graft and deployment was done under...
image intensifier (C-arm) as per recommendation of the manufacturers.

RESULTS
There were four patients who underwent the EVAR procedure under local anaesthesia and monitored sedation between June to August 2011. All were males with a mean age of 66.7 years (range 57 – 74 yrs) and were symptomatic with abdominal discomfort or pain associated with a pulsatile mass. The mean maximum diameter of the aortic aneurysms was 5.55 cm (range 4.2 - 6.5 cm). Mean operating time was 142.5 minutes (range 125 - 160 mins) and the mean post operative length of hospital stay was 3.5 days (range 2 – 5 days). Only one patient required post operative ICU monitoring due to short period of hypotension and bradycardia post extubation in OT. There was no post operative mortality in this study. Table 1 shows the co-morbidities and main reasons for choosing LA including ASA classification.

DISCUSSION
This retrospective review showed EVAR with LA has showed a very encouraging outcome in terms of safety, reduced length of post-operative stay, reduced ICU admission, reduced postoperative monitoring and postoperative morbidity in our local setting. Henretta et al4 reported no operative death or cardio pulmonary adverse events in 47 patients who underwent EVAR with LA.

A more recent and larger review of EVAR comparing different modalities of anaesthesia by Geisbusch et al5 reviewed consecutively 217 patients over 44 months undergoing EVAR and found that 187 pts (84%) were suitable for LA with conversion to open in 14 patients only (7.6%) and mortality rate of 2.7%. The authors however did note that the Type I endoleak was found only in cases undergoing EVAR under LA and they postulate that accurate placement and deployment of the stent graft is slightly compromised due to respiratory and patient movement and they suggest that challenging aneurysm anatomy to be a relative contraindication to EVAR under LA. If iatrogenic rupture occurs, this can be dealt with either with endovascular stenting or open repair. Open repair would require conversion to GA. Conversion will also be required if the patient becomes uncooperative or unable to keep still during the critical phase of deployment of the device.

Ruppert et al6 in an analysis of the large EUROSTAR database of 5557 cases in 167 centers found that 310 patients (6%) were done under LA with subsequent significant reduction in ICU and hospital stay with reduced systemic complications.

The use of local anaesthesia avoids mechanical ventilation and allows spontaneous ventilation therefore reducing the patient’s exposure to factors that increase the risk of post operative respiratory failure and reduces the risk of pulmonary morbidity.

Bettex et al7 in his study noted lower fluid requirements and vasopressor support resulting in lower need for ICU post operative care. In our study only one (1) required post operative ICU care due to transient hypotension and bradycardia shortly after completion of the procedure. This was the patient that had poor cardiac function and history of congestive cardiac failure on digoxin. An internal department audit of EVAR done in our department in 2010 showed that there were 17 cases of which 7 were for elective infra renal AAA of which only 2 cases required ICU stay of 1 and 3 days respectively but post operative stay of was much longer with a mean of 6 days (range 2 - 10 days) whereas the LA group was mean of 3.5 days (range 2-5 days). All patients undergoing open repair required ICU stay.

Post operative surveillance is similar to patients undergoing EVAR under GA i.e first CT within a month if there were no problems intra-operatively followed by a 3 months, 6 months and yearly CT scan if no complications are detected.
Concerning the pain control, majority of patients required only oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication. This is possibly due to the effect of preemptive analgesia exerted by the infiltration of local anaesthetic agent 6.

The limitation of this initial report is that there were only a small number of patients in the study cohort and we will require a larger cohort to compare the efficacy and outcome of this technique with other larger studies in our center.

CONCLUSION
This initial study showed that the use of local anaesthesia in EVAR in a tertiary vascular center in selected cases is safe and is associated with a reduction in ICU admission and postoperative hospital stay.
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