# Self-esteem among cancer patients receiving chemotherapy in selected government state hospitals, Peninsular Malaysia

Vidthya Sivaperumal, Mpharm¹, Sherina Mohd Sidik, PhD¹, Lekhraj Rampal, DrPh², Siti Irma Fadhilah Ismail, PhD¹, Ummavathy Periasamy, PhD³

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Hospital Tuanku Jaafar, Seremban, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

# **ABSTRACT**

Introduction: The number of cancer cases in Malaysia has increased from 32,000 cases in 2008 with to 37,000 in 2012 (check figures in text). This number is expected to rise to 56,932 by 2025. Cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy experience low to moderate level of self-esteem.

Objectives: To determine the self-esteem among oncology patients receiving chemotherapy in selected government state hospitals, Peninsular Malaysia.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted using self-administered questionnaires. 953 respondents were given questionnaires which included socio-demographic profile, physical effect, depression, anxiety, quality of life and self esteem. Inferential analysis was done by using Independent T-test or Pearson's Correlation and the level of significance was p<0.05. Multivariate logistic regression was then used to determine the predictors using Statistical Package for Social Sciences software version 22.0

Results: All 953 respondents selected participated in this study. The overall mean self-esteem in this study was 22.67, SD=4.98. The significant predictors of self-esteem where p-value was <0.05 were age; gender; marital status; working status; anxiety; depression; nausea; anemia; hair loss; skin and nail changes; overall quality of life and psychological domain of quality of life.

The finding of this study indicates that predictors of selfesteem among patients undergoing chemotherapy should be taken into account to improve their quality of life. Guidelines on how to manage self-esteem in a chemotherapy patient can be done using this study as the baseline.

# **KEY WORDS:**

Cancer, Chemotherapy, Self esteem

# INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the leading causes of mortality in both developed and developing countries and is a major public

health problem in the world today. By 2020 mortality due to cancer is expected to total up to above 11 million people worldwide. It is shown that approximately 14.1 million new cancer cases were reported and 8.2 million deaths due to cancer occurred in 2012. In Malaysia, death caused by cancer in 2008 was 20,100 and this increased to 21,700 in 2012.

Cancer is not just a disease that affects the body, it is so terrifying to the extent that it affects a person's confidence in their ability to be in control of their life. 4 Self-esteem is one of the psychological element which may deteriorate when the patient tries to adapt to the problem, deal with their suffering and take control over incidence that has resulted because of the disease. 5 The common treatments for cancer are radiation therapy, chemotherapy and surgery. 6 Many temporary and permanent changes in the physical appearance of a patient may present as a side effect during the treatment process. Even the easiest daily routine may get disrupted and patients need to be aware of these long-term consequences, which may influence the level of self-esteem.8 In chemotherapy ward Fatemiyeh Teaching Hospitals Semnan, there were absolutely no respondents with high self-esteem. Nearly 88.8% of respondents presented with low self-esteem while the rest had moderate self-esteem.11 It is also said that selfesteem of a person may undergo changes under certain circumstances such as diseases.8

The definite definition of self-esteem is quite difficult as it is very contextual and it is very subjective depending on situation, events and the given level of knowledge at that time. People with low self-esteem imply self-rejection, self-dissatisfaction, self-contempt, lack self-respect for themselves and wish they were something else. On the other hand, according to Rosenberg, high self-esteem means that they have high self-respect for who they are, but this is also within limits and do not assume that they are in any way more superior than anyone else. The diagnosis of cancer itself has the tendency of affecting the image of the patient about their own bodies negatively resulting in changes in self-esteem. Even the easiest daily routine may be disrupted and patients need to be aware of these long-term consequences, which may influence their level of self-esteem.

This article was accepted: 4 August 2019 Corresponding Author: Prof. Dr. Sherina Mohd Sidik Email: sherina@upm.edu.my Many studies have shown that patients undergoing chemotherapy present with low to moderate self-esteem.<sup>11-13</sup> In Malaysia, the majority respondent in Hospital Tuanku Jaafar Seremban was seen to have moderate self-esteem.<sup>14</sup>

This study aims to determine the self-esteem among cancer patients receiving chemotherapy in selected government hospitals in Malaysia and also to determine their predictors that are involved. The number of cancer sufferings is growing from year to year, and one of the main contributions to this is lack of application of known intervention which is crucial in saving life and eliminating sufferings which may possibly expedite the control of cancer earlier. Cure should not only be based biological but also psychologically. Therefore, the ultimate goal should include in a way that it will lead to increase in self-esteem.<sup>15</sup>

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A crdss-sectional study was done from February 2015 until December 2017 among cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment in ten selected government state hospitals in Peninsular Malaysia (name the states at least). This study was done in the day care units and oncology wards. The inclusion criteria was cancer patients who-were Malaysian citizens undergoing chemotherapy and exclusion criteria were patients who were too ill (for example bed ridden) and unable to respond, hearing and speech difficulties and patients who had any psychotic features.

The self-administered questionnaires which was used in this study were socio-demographic profile, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects (CTCAE 4), Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ 9), General Anxiety Disorder (GAD 7), WHOQOL-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF)) and Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale. The Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale is a Likert scale format with options from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The number of questions in this questionnaire is 10 with continuous scaling and no cut off scores. These 10 questions measure the positive and negative feelings about themselves. In this study, the continuous scale was not categorised and was interpreted by, the higher the score the better the selfesteem and the lower the score the lower the self-esteem. PHQ 9 and GAD 7 has their own range of score which is categorised into normal, mild, moderate and severe. Respondents falling under the normal category was considered not to have depression and severity while the rest falls under the yes category in table 3. The sample size in this study was 953 and was calculated according to study by Lemeshow, Hosmer, Klar, & Lwanga, 1990 (1990). All 953 patients who were approached agreed to participate and completed the questionnaire correctly with the help of the researcher. Samples were collected on a particular days and month according to the visiting days of patients which varied from hospital to hospital. Data was analysed using SPSS 22.0 (Statistical-Package-for-Social-Sciences-Software). Frequency and percentage of variables were obtained through descriptive statistics. Inferential analysis was done by using t-test or Pearson's correlation test to determine the association between variables. The confidence interval was set at 95% and level of significance at <0.05. Multiple logistic regression was used to determine the significant predictors of self-esteem.

### RESULTS

This study had 100% respond rate with all 953 respondents participating as shown in table I below.

The overall mean (SD) of self-esteem is seen to be 22.67(4.98). The mean age of respondents in this study was 48.15, SD=14.22 for male and 45.30, SD=12.62 for female.

21 variables as seen in Tables II, III and IV was found to be significantly associated (p<0.05) with self-esteem of patients which were age, gender, marital status, family members living together, education level, working status, income, stage of cancer, chemotherapy cycle, pain due to chemotherapy, anxiety, depression, nausea, vomiting, anemia, hair loss, skin and nail changes, physical health domain, psychological health domain, social relationship domain and overall quality of life.

Further analysis to determine the predictors using the multilinear regression analysis was done. Of a total of 36 variables association table, 30 variables were chosen for multilinear regression analysis based on p<0.25. This multilinear regression analysis was done using the 'enter' method. This analysis found that there were 12 predictors of self-esteem based on p-value <0.05 as shown in Table V.

## DISCUSSION

The overall mean self-esteem score in this study is 22.67 which is a border line value. Another study had a mean self-esteem score of 25.76 which is far from the maximum score among respondents receiving chemotherapy and this was seen in a study done in Turkey.<sup>2</sup> Meanwhile in Malaysia, another similar study conducted among chemotherapy patients in Seremban was seen to have a baseline self-esteem score of 25.28.<sup>6</sup>

Our study shows that there is significant association between the age of the respondents and the level of self-esteem with p<0.001. It is shown that the older age group has higher selfesteem whereby the mean of the higher age group was 26.24, SD=6.57 compared to the younger age group mean=22.09, SD=4.40. A study done in Brazil among patients undergoing chemotherapy also showed that the level of self-esteem increased with age.<sup>5</sup> Female patients was seen to have lower self-esteem in our study with a mean=21.15, SD=3.62 compared with the male group mean=24.92, SD=5.78. This finding is supported by a study done in a regional cancer center in Agartala, India, which proves that female patients had a lower level of self-concept compared to male patients. 16 Our study showed a significant association between marital status and the self-esteem outcome and level of self-esteem was found to be higher among the single respondents mean=23.63 as compared to the ones married mean=22.46. This was not seen to be the case in the study by Gomes & Silva in Brazil wherein the majority of the respondents were married and more than half had high self-esteem.<sup>17</sup> It is seen that educated respondents has a higher level of self-esteem (mean=22.84) compared to the uneducated respondents mean=21.47 in our study. Low level of education may possibly cause the discomfort of their body image which in return could end up in avoidance or acceptance and denial. This situation could lead to psychological distress and altered

Table I: Distribution of Respondents (n=953)

| Variables         | Frequency | Percentage (%)   |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|--|--|
| Age               | Trequency | i orountage (70) |  |  |
| <45               | 329       | 34.5             |  |  |
| 45-59             | 491       | 51.5             |  |  |
| ≥60               | 133       | 14.0             |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Gender            | 933       | 100              |  |  |
|                   | 204       | 40.3             |  |  |
| Male              | 384       |                  |  |  |
| Female            | 569       | 59.7             |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Ethnicity         | 404       | F4 F             |  |  |
| Malay             | 491       | 51.5             |  |  |
| Chinese           | 276       | 29.0             |  |  |
| Indian            | 145       | 15.2             |  |  |
| Others            | 41        | 4.3              |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Religion          |           |                  |  |  |
| Muslim            | 512       | 53.7             |  |  |
| Buddhist          | 174       | 18.3             |  |  |
| Hindu             | 103       | 10.8             |  |  |
| Christian         | 112       | 11.8             |  |  |
| Others            | 42        | 4.4              |  |  |
| No Religion       | 10        | 1.0              |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Marital           |           |                  |  |  |
| Single            | 169       | 17.7             |  |  |
| Married           | 363       | 38.1             |  |  |
| Widowed           | 274       | 28.8             |  |  |
| Divorced          | 84        | 8.8              |  |  |
| Separated         | 63        | 6.6              |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Children          | 955       | 100              |  |  |
| No Children       | 220       | 23.9             |  |  |
|                   | 228       |                  |  |  |
| 1-2               | 303       | 31.8             |  |  |
| 3-4               | 234       | 24.6             |  |  |
| 5-6               | 150       | 15.7             |  |  |
| >6                | 38        | 4.0              |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Living together   |           |                  |  |  |
| None              | 55        | 5.8              |  |  |
| 1-2               | 261       | 27.4             |  |  |
| 3-4               | 367       | 38.5             |  |  |
| 5-6               | 221       | 23.2             |  |  |
| 7-8               | 44        | 4.6              |  |  |
| >8                | 5         | 0.5              |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| School            |           |                  |  |  |
| Yes               | 836       | 87.7             |  |  |
| No                | 117       | 12.3             |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Highest Education |           |                  |  |  |
| Primary           | 356       | 37.3             |  |  |
| Secondary         | 204       | 21.4             |  |  |
| University        | 276       | 29.0             |  |  |
| None              | 117       | 12.3             |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Working           |           | 100              |  |  |
| Yes               | 546       | 57.3             |  |  |
| No                | 187       | 19.6             |  |  |
|                   | 220       | 23.1             |  |  |
| Retired           |           |                  |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |
| Income (RM)       |           |                  |  |  |
| <1500             | 294       | 25.8             |  |  |
| 1501-3000         | 125       | 11.0             |  |  |
| 3001-4500         | 251       | 22.0             |  |  |
| 4501-6000         | 343       | 30.1             |  |  |
| >6001             | 127       | 11.1             |  |  |
| Total             | 953       | 100              |  |  |

Table II: Association between Self-esteem and Socio-Demographic characteristic, anxiety, depression and self-esteem (n=953) where p-value is obtained using the independent t-test

| Variables                     | Self Esteem (RSES) |        |     |         |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----|---------|--|--|--|
|                               | Mean (SD)          | t-test | df  | p-value |  |  |  |
| Age                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| ≤60                           | 22.09 (4.40)       | -9.341 | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| >60                           | 26.24 (6.57)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Gender                        |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Male                          | 24.92 (5.78)       | 12.385 | 951 | 0.001*  |  |  |  |
| Female                        | 21.15 (3.62)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Ethnicity                     |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Malay                         | 22.75 (5.30)       | 0.509  | 951 | 0.611   |  |  |  |
| Non-Malay                     | 22.40 (4.76)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Religion                      |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Muslim                        | 22.89 (5.13)       | 1.522  | 951 | 0.122   |  |  |  |
| Non-Muslim                    | 22.38 (4.67)       |        | 33. | 3.122   |  |  |  |
| Total                         | 22.30 (1.07)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Marital Status                |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Single                        | 23.63 (6.20)       | 2.780  | 951 | 0.006*  |  |  |  |
| Married                       | 22.46 (4.64)       | 2.700  | 331 | 0.000   |  |  |  |
| Total                         | 22.40 (4.04)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Children                      |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| No children                   | 21.14 (6.05)       | -1.839 | 951 | 0.066   |  |  |  |
| With children                 | 22.83 (4.57)       | -1.659 | 331 | 0.000   |  |  |  |
| Total                         | 22.83 (4.37)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
|                               |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Family member living together | 20.70 (2.52)       | 2.012  | 951 | 0.004*  |  |  |  |
| Living alone                  | 20.79 (3.52)       | -2.912 | 951 | 0.004*  |  |  |  |
| Living with someone           | 22.79 (5.02)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Highest Education             |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Non Educated                  | 21.47 (3.26)       | -2.791 | 951 | 0.005*  |  |  |  |
| Educated                      | 22.84 (5.14)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Working                       |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                           | 23.54 (5.46)       | 6.401  | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| No                            | 21.50 (3.93)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Financial income              |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| ≥1500                         | 21.46 (4.19)       | -3.645 | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| ≤1501                         | 22.95 (5.09)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Anxiety (GAD7)                |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                           | 22.34 (6.55)       | 7.397  | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| No                            | 26.81 (4.67)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Depression (PHQ 9)            |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                           | 22.46 (5.47)       | 2.394  | 951 | 0.017*  |  |  |  |
| No                            | 23.40 (2.30)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |

p<0.001\* p<0.05\*\*

Table III: Association between Overall Quality of Life, Physical Domain, Psychological Domain, Social Relationship, Environment Domain and Self Esteem (n=953) where p-value is obtained using the Pearson's correlation

| Variables           | Self-Esteem (RSES) |        |       |         |  |  |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|---------|--|--|
|                     | Mean (SD)          | r      | r²    | p-value |  |  |
| Overall QOL         | 54.34 (12.01)      | 0.141  | 0.019 | 0.001** |  |  |
| Physical Health     | 54.62 (11.32)      | 0.410  | 0.168 | 0.001** |  |  |
| Psychological       | 56.52 (11.01)      | 0.088  | 0.008 | 0.006*  |  |  |
| Social Relationship | 55.44 (10.36)      | -0.435 | 0.189 | 0.001** |  |  |
| Environment         | 55.52 (10.31)      | 0.060  | 0.004 | 0.066   |  |  |

p<0.001\*

p<0.05\*\*

Table IV: Association between Physical Effect and Self Esteem (n=953) using the Independent t-test

|                                 | Self Esteem (RSES) |        |     |         |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-----|---------|--|--|--|
|                                 | Mean (SD)          | t-test | df  | p-value |  |  |  |
| (Physical Effect CTCAE)         |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Nausea                          |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 21.87 (3.93)       | 5.916  | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.77 (5.95)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Vomiting                        |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.38 (4.88)       | 3.033  | 951 | 0.002*  |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.50 (4.97)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Anorexia                        |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.66 (5.11)       | 0.036  | 951 | 0.971   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 22.68 (4.58)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Diarrhea                        |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.67 (4.97)       | -0.056 | 951 | 0.955   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 22.65 (4.97)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Constipation                    |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.45 (4.93)       | 1.894  | 951 | 0.058   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.08 (5.02)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Anemia                          |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.13 (4.55)       | 7.144  | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| No                              | 24.99 (5.93)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Fever                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.50 (5.00)       | 1.271  | 951 | 0.204   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 22.92 (4.92)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| -<br>atigue                     |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.54 (4.71)       | 1.573  | 951 | 0.116   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.15 (5.80)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| nfection                        |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.49 (4.79)       | 1.651  | 951 | 0.099   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.65 (5.33)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Bleeding                        |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.41 (4.78)       | 1.889  | 951 | 0.059   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.03 (5.20)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Hair Loss                       |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.34 (4.63)       | 5.761  | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| No                              | 25.19 (6.56)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Mouth, Gum and Throat infection |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.57(4.69)        | 1.037  | 951 | 0.300   |  |  |  |
| No                              | 22.95 (5.69)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           | , ,                |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Skin and Nail Changes           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                             | 22.09 (4.67)       | 5.533  | 951 | 0.001** |  |  |  |
| No                              | 23.99 (5.38)       |        |     |         |  |  |  |
| Total                           |                    |        |     |         |  |  |  |

p<0.001\*

p<0.05\*\*

Table V: Multilinear regression analysis between Self-Esteem and Predictors of Self-Esteem

| Variable              | В      | B SE  | t      | p-Value | 95% C I for B |        |
|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|---------------|--------|
|                       |        |       |        |         | Lower         | Upper  |
| Age                   |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| <60                   | 3.567  | 0.380 | 9.391  | 0.001*  | 2.821         | 4.312  |
| >60                   |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Gender                |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Female                | -2.129 | 0.274 | -7.771 | 0.001*  | -2.667        | -1.591 |
| Male                  |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Marital Status        |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Non-Married           | -1.969 | 0.527 | -3.736 | 0.001*  | -3.003        | -0.934 |
| Married               |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Working               |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Not working           |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Working               | -1.243 | 0.331 | -3.754 | 0.001*  | -1.892        | -0.593 |
| Anxiety               |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Yes                   |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| No                    | -2.733 | 0.533 | -5.124 | 0.001*  | -3.780        | -1.686 |
| Depression            |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Yes                   |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| No                    | -0.882 | 0.316 | -2.794 | 0.005*  | -1.501        | -0.262 |
| Nausea                |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Yes                   | -1.038 | 0.270 | -3.839 | 0.001*  | -1.569        | -0.507 |
| No                    |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Anemia                |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Yes                   | -1.539 | 0.327 | -4.711 | 0.001*  | -2.180        | -0.898 |
| No                    |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Hair loss             |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Yes                   | -1.764 | 0.398 | -4.434 | 0.001*  | -2.545        | -0.983 |
| No                    | Ref    |       |        |         |               |        |
| Skin and Nail Changes |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Yes                   | -1.046 | 0.277 | -3.783 | 0.001*  | -1.589        | -0.503 |
| No                    |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Overall Quality       | -0.104 | 0.277 | 0.374  | 0.008*  | -0.647        | 0.439  |
| Of Life               |        |       |        |         |               |        |
| Psychological         | 0.148  | 0.071 | 2.087  | 0.037*  | 0.009         | 0.287  |
| Domain                |        |       |        |         |               |        |

B: Unstandadized Coefficients; p significant at p>0.05

| Model | R     | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Standard Error of the estimation |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | 0.659 | 0.434    | 0.416             | 3.796                            |

level of self-esteem.<sup>9</sup> Respondents who earned less than RM1500 had lower self-esteem mean=21.46 as compared to the ones earing more mean=22.95. This result was also seen in a study done in Brazil where respondents who earned less than RM1500 has lower self-esteem mean=21.46 as compared to the ones earing more, mean=22.95.<sup>18,19</sup>

Respondents with depression was seen to have lower level of self-esteem mean=22.46 as compared to those in the non-depressive category mean=23.40. This scenario was seen in a study in Korea where self-esteem directly affected the level of depression in chemotherapy patients.<sup>4</sup> It is proven by the findings in Lo et al. (2010) that those who had low level of self-esteem are at greater risk of depressive symptoms.<sup>20</sup> It was also reported that the respondents who were depressed was seen to be higher in the chemotherapy group then the other groups.<sup>21</sup>

The findings of our study states that anxiety and self-esteem of a cancer patient go hand in hand. Anxiety is normally accompanied by sense of disability which lead to decrease in self-esteem.<sup>22</sup> Another study done in Hong Kong which has the same outcome wherein the percentage of participants with anxiety in the chemotherapy group was higher than in other groups.<sup>23</sup>

The physical effects which was found to have significant association with the level of self-esteem was nausea, vomiting, anemia, hair loss, changes in the skin and nail. Disease and its treatment which is associated with altered appearance have been proved to disrupt a person's self-esteem. Disturbance in body image and appearance is directly linked to low self-esteem. Similar concept is shown in our study wherein respondents with altered body image such as alopecia and skin and nail changes has lower self-esteem than the group who did not have changes in their appearance. It is seen in our study that nausea and vomiting

has significant association similar to the findings in a study done in brazil.<sup>25</sup> Treatment induced anemia was also associated with low quality of life in a study done in Italy.<sup>26</sup> Many studies have shown to associate low quality of life with low levels of self-esteem similar to the findings of these study whereby anemia has significant association with the level of self-esteem.

The overall domain and all the domains in the quality of life in this study is significantly associated with self-esteem except the environmental domain. In support to this findings, a study done in Detroit underlines the importance in developing and enhancing the self-esteem in cancer patients as it affects their quality of life. Study by Kobayashi et al. (2009) claims that patients with high scores of self-esteem also have high score in their quality of life which proved that they are interrelated as seen in this study where self-esteem and overall quality of life is positively associated. Another study done in the Netherlands supports the findings of our study that young adults with cancer was seen to have reported to have low self-esteem and quality of life as compared to their healthy peers. Factors that influences quality of life influence the level of self-esteem.

The significant predictors of self-esteem were age, gender, marital status, working, anxiety, depression, nausea, anemia, hair loss, skin and nail changes, overall quality of life and psychological domain of quality of life. In support to these findings, Bartoces et al. (2009) underlines the importance in developing and enhancing the self-esteem in cancer patients as it affects their quality of life. A study done by Veer et al. (2008) showed young adults with cancer reported a low self-esteem and quality of life as compared to the healthy peers which supports the findings of the present study.

# **CONCLUSION**

The overall mean self-esteem among all respondents in this study was 22.67. 21 variables was found to be significantly associated (p<0.05) with self-esteem of patients which were age, gender, marital status, family members living together, education level, working status, income, stage of cancer, chemotherapy cycle, pain due to chemotherapy, anxiety, depression, nausea, vomiting, anemia, hair loss, skin and nail changes, physical health domain, psychological health domain, social relationship domain and overall quality of life. Further analysis found that there were 12 predictors of self-esteem which were age, gender, marital status, working, anxiety, depression, nausea, anemia, hair loss, skin and nail changes, overall quality of life and psychological domain of quality of life. The findings of this study can serve as a baseline study and provides information on the self-esteem of oncology patients undergoing chemotherapy. As shown in the findings of this study, self-esteem has an impact on cancer patients and there are many predictors which can affect the level of self-esteem. This study highlights that selfesteem deteriorates and should be addressed in cancer patients. By identifying its predictors, steps can be undertaken to improve the self-esteem in patients. In addition to this, the Ministry of Health and also nongovernment agencies on cancer such as the Malaysian Cancer Society could address self-esteem when promoting

their programs in the community and among cancer patients and care givers.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank the Director General of Health Malaysia for his permission to publish this article, the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Putra Malaysia (UPM), Hospital Directors and Department Heads of CDR units of all selected hospitals, all hospital staff who assisted in the study and all of the participants who were involved in this study. This research was funded by Geran Universiti Putra Malaysia Fasa 2-2014 [grant numberUPM/700-2/1/GP-P1/2014/9449800]

# **REFERENCES**

- . World Health Organization (WHO) (2012). Câncer, Geneva, 2012.
- World Cancer Report (2014, IARC). Bernard W. Stewart and Christopher P. Wild.
- Ferlay, J., Bray, F., Steliarova-Foucher, E., & Forman, D. (2010). GLOBOCAN 2008, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide. IARC CancerBase No. 10. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- Lee HJ, Sohn SK. Predictive factors of hope in patients with cancer. J Korean Acad Adult Nurs 2000; 12(2): 184-95.
- Leite MA, Nogueira DA, Terra Fde S. Evaluation of self-esteem in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem 2015; 23(6): 1082-9.
- Tae YS, Heitkemper M, Kim MY. A path analysis: a model of depression in Korean women with breast cancer-mediating effects of self-esteem and hope. Oncol Nurs Forum 2017; 39(1): E49-57.
- 7. Bhattacharjee A. Self-Concept of Cancer Patients: A Comparative Study. Voice of Research 2013; 1(4):40-3.
- Phianmongkhol Y, Suwan N. Symptom management in patients with cancer of the female reproductive system receiving chemotherapy. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2008; 9(4): 741-5.
- Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Pessin H, Applebaum A, Kulikowski J, Lichtenthal WG. Meaning-centered group psychotherapy: an effective intervention for improving psychological well-being in patients with advanced cancer. J ClinOncol 2015; 33(7): 749-54.
- Ates O, Soylu C, Babacan T, Sarici F, Kertmen N, Allen D, et al. Assessment
  of psychosocial factors and distress in women having adjuvant endocrine
  therapy for breast cancer: the relationship among emotional distress and
  patient and treatment-related factors. Springerplus 2016; 5: 486.
- Abbasian FF, Kia NS, Mirmohammadkhani M, Ghahremanfard F, Ghods E. Self-esteem and spiritual health in cancer patients under chemotherapy in Semnan University of Medical Sciences in 2014. Health, Spirituality and Medical Ethics 2016; 3(4):29-37.
- Aleksandra KT. Body Image and Self Esteem of Patient with Oncohematological disesase after chemotherapy. Psychoterapia 2015; 1(172): 73-84.
- 13. Parvan K., Tabrizi FJ, Rahmani A, Ghojazadeh M, Azadi A, Golchin M. The Relationship between Hope and Self-Esteem in Patients with Leukemia. J Caring Sci 2015; 4(3): 217-23.
- Ummavathy P, Sherina MS, Rampal L, Siti Irma Fadhilah I. Outcome of chemotherapy counseling by pharmacists on psychological effects and self-esteem among oncology patients in a Government Hospital in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia 2015; 70(3): 131-41.
- Maciel PC, Veiga-Filho J, Carvalho MP, Fonseca FE, Ferreira LM, Veiga DF. Quality of life and self-esteem in patients submitted to surgical treatment of skin carcinomas: long-term results. An Bras Dermatol 2014; 89(4), 594-8.
- 16. Bensadoun RJ, Humbert P, Krutman J, Luger T, Triller R, Rougier A, et al. Daily baseline skin care in the prevention, treatment, and supportive care of skin toxicity in oncology patients: recommendations from a multinational expert panel. Cancer Manag Res 2013; 5: 401-8.
- Gomes NS, Silva SR. Evaluation of the Self-Esteem of Women who had Undergone Breast Cancer Surgery. Textocontexto-enferm, Florianópolis 2013; 22(2): 509-16.
- 18. Kim JI, Song Y, Lee JH, Kim HJ, Hong EJ, Kim SA, et al. Depression, Stress and Self-Esteem According to Treatment Phase in Patients with Breast Cancer. Indian Journal of Science and Technology 2015; 8(25).
- Lo C, Zimmermann C, Rydall A, Walsh A, Jones JM, Moore MJ, et al. Longitudinal study of depressive symptoms in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal and lung cancer. J ClinOncol 2010; 28(18): 3084-9.

- Preston MM. An Exploration of Appearance-Related Issues of Breast Cancer Treatment on Sense of Self, Self-Esteem, and Social Functioning in Women with Breast Cancer. Doctorate in Social Work (DSW) Dissertations, School of Social Policy and Practice, University of Pennsylvania 2010.
   Bartoces MG, Severson RK, Rusin BA, Schwartz KL, Ruterbusch JJ, Neale
- Bartoces MG, Severson RK, Rusin BA, Schwartz KL, Ruterbusch JJ, Neale AV. Quality of life and self-esteem of long-term survivors of invasive and noninvasive cervical cancer. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2009; 18(5): 655-61.
- 22. Chang O, Choi EK, Kim IR, Nam SJ, Lee JE, Lee SK, et al. Association between socioeconomic status and altered appearance distress, body image, and quality of life among breast cancer patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014; 15(20): 8607-12.
- So WK, Marsh G, Ling WM, Leung FY, Lo JC, Yeung M, Li GK. Anxiety, depression and quality of life among Chinese breast cancer patients during adjuvant therapy. Eur J Oncol Nurs 2010; 14(1): 17-22.
- 24. Pintado S. Self-concept and emotional well-being in patients with breast cancer. Revistalberoamericana De Psicología Y Salud 2017; 8(2): 76-84.

- Enache RG. The relationship between anxiety, depression and selfesteem in women with breast cancer after surgery. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 2012; 33: 124-7.
- Ferreira ED, Barbosa MH, Sonobe HM, Barichello E. Self-esteem and health-related quality of life in ostomized patients. Rev Bras Enferm 2017; 70(2): 271-8.
- Azmawati MN, Najibah E, Hatta MD, Norfazilah A. Quality of life by stage
  of cervical cancer among Malaysian patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev
  2014; 15(13): 5283-6.
- 28. van der Sluijs Veer L, Kempers MJ, Last BF, Vulsma T, Grootenhuis MA. Quality of life, developmental milestones, and self-esteem of young adults with congenital hypothyroidism diagnosed by neonatal screening. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008; 93(7): 2654-6.