# The role of social network, social support, religiosity and depression among elderly Malaysians who had experienced major life events

## Tengku Aizan Hamid, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Azzida Dzaher, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Ching Siew Mooi, MMed(Fam Med)<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Malaysian Research Institute on Ageing (MyAgeing) and Department of Human Development and Family Study, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Human Ecology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, <sup>3</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

## ABSTRACT

Background: Research has found that social network, social support and religiosity are associated with depression in elderly people. However, these predictors of depression have not been fully explored among the high risk elderly population. This study aims to examine the prevalence and factors associated with depression among Malaysian elderly subjects who had experienced major life events.

Methods: This is a cross-section study of a subsample of 594 participants from the original sample of 2322 Malaysian elderly respondents, who had experienced major life events. Information on socio-demographic, social network, social support, religiosity and depression were collected through an interviewer-administered questionnaire. A multiple linear regression analysis was used to determine the factors associated with depression among elderly who experienced major life events.

Results: Overall prevalence of depression among subsample of Malaysian elderly facing major life events was 9.4%. The results showed that age ( $p \le 0.01$ ), income ( $p \le 0.001$ ) and social network ( $p \le 0.05$ ) were significant associated with depression. In other words, with increasing age, low income as well as small social network associated with high risk of developing depression among elderly who had experienced major life events

Conclusion: Other than age and income, social network were also associated with depression among elderly respondents who had experienced major life events. Therefore, professionals who are working with elderly with major life events should seek ways to enhance elderly networking as one of the strategies to prevent depression.

## **KEY WORDS:**

Social Network, Social Support, Religiosity, Depression, Major life events

## INTRODUCTION

Depression is a leading cause of disability worldwide and the major contributor to the overall global burden of diseases. The increase of aged population has been accompanied by a dramatic increase in the number of older adults suffering from depression. There is an 18% increase of depression over a 10-year period among 350 million people of all ages.<sup>1</sup> However, recently the prevalence rates for depression peaked among older adults, affecting more elderly women than men.<sup>2</sup> The prevalence of depression in Peninsular Malaysia has been shown to reach almost 8% in the rural<sup>3</sup> and 6% in the urban<sup>4</sup> communities.

Depression is linked to increased risk of cardiac morbidity, physical distress and health problems and thus decreased in quality of life among elderly.<sup>5</sup> These consequently may limit their daily activities, job insecurity, and increased risk of early mortality.<sup>6</sup>

Social network and social support have been shown to significantly affect depression among elderly.<sup>7,8</sup> Umberson, states that older adults will perceive feelings of belonging, love, value, tangible aids, and advice that can help them to stay healthy and adapt to stress when they have access to adequate social support network.<sup>9</sup>

Other than social factors, religiosity is also significantly linked to health, particularly depression as it acquire more positive emotions.<sup>10</sup> Elderly population who had experienced distressing life events are at high risk of depression.<sup>1,11</sup> In fact, major life events such as loss of a spouse, death of parents and friends, illnesses, physical changes and a change in residential area have been consistently high among older age population.<sup>12</sup> To date, no nationwide or local study looking at the characteristics depression among high risk group of elderly, particularly those who had experienced major life events.

Access to better social network, social support and religiosity all constitute important building block for healthy living among elderly people who are likely to experience losses such as loss of spouse, closed family members and other significant life events.<sup>13</sup> Although majority of depressed people identify stressful event precipitating their depression, only small number of people exposed to such distressing life events become depressed when they receive adequate social support and become involved in religion.<sup>14</sup> While coping resources might facilitate such adaptation, their effects on

This article was accepted: 19 March 2019 Corresponding Author: Tengku Aizan Hamid Email: aizan@upm.edu.my

alleviating depression in the elderly who experienced major life events have been largely ignored, despite evidence suggesting their potential importance.

Studies had shown that social network, social support and religiosity were associated with depression in later life.<sup>15</sup> However, studies on the relationships among older adults who at high risk of depression remains scarce. Thus, this study was conducted to increase the understanding of whether social network, social support and religiosity are associated with depression among elderly who had experienced major life events. The hypothesis of this study was there is significant association between social network, social support, religiosity and depression.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Design, Participants and Procedure

The data presented herein were obtained from the Malaysian national survey entitled 'Identifying Psychosocial and Identifying Economic Risk Factor of Cognitive Impairment among Elderly'. In brief, this study was a cross-sectional, face to face, interviewer-administered survey of community residents aged 60 years or older from Peninsular Malaysia. A multi-stage stratified random sampling technique was used to obtain a representative sample of the Malaysian population. A total of 2,322 older adults participated in this study, with a response rate of 90%.<sup>16,17</sup> Of this, a subsample of 594 respondents who had experienced major life events was selected for this study.

The characteristics of the sample broadly represented the general population of older people living in the community in Peninsular Malaysia.

## MEASURES

Socio-demographic Characteristics (Independent Variable) The demographic characteristics were age, gender, ethnicity, education level, marital status, religion, employment, income, and living arrangement. All socio-demographic characteristics were measured as categorical variables, except for age and income which was measured as continuous variables.

### Social Network (Independent Variable)

The Lubben Social Network-6 (LSNS-6)<sup>18</sup> was used to measure the size of the network (friendship network size, family network size, and total network size). This scale consists of six questions; three questions access family ties and three questions access friendship ties. Each question has score of 0 to 20 that will make range of each subscale from 0 to 60. Thus, to find the total score, the sum of these six questions ranged from 0 to 120. Higher scores represent a bigger family or friendship social networks. For the present study, the LSNS-6 showed acceptable internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha = 0.55.

### Social Support (Independent Variable)

The Medical Outcome Study Social Support Survey (MOS-SSS)<sup>19</sup> was employed to measure social support of the respondents. It is a 19-item scale with four subscales, which were informational, tangible support, affective support and positive social interaction. In the MOS-SSS each item has scale of 0 to 3: (0) none of the times, (1) some of the time, (2) most of the time and (3) all of the time. Choosing (3) was equal in the full positive response and choosing (0) was equal to a full negative response. Based on the questionnaires, range scores of informational support was 0-24, affective support was 0-9, tangible support and positive social interaction support was 0-12. This has made a total score of social support 0-57. The higher score indicated the better function of social support. In this study, the MOS-SSS showed high internal consistency with Chronbach's alpha = 0.98.

#### Religiosity (Independent Variable)

Religiosity was measured using the Religiosity Intrinsic-Extrinsic Scale.<sup>20</sup> This scale contains of 14 items with six items measuring intrinsic religiosity and four items measuring each extrinsic personal and extrinsic social. Each of the items has Likert scale of 1 to 5; (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) neutral, (4) agree, and (5) strongly agree. Then, both forms of religiosity are summed up individually and the score range of 6-30 for intrinsic scale, while 4-20 for each of extrinsic personal and extrinsic social. Then, a final score was summed up with range of 14-70. Higher scores indicate higher level of a specific religious orientation. In this study, the scale showed high internal consistency with Chronbach's alpha=0.76.

#### Depression (Dependent Variable)

Depression was assessed by 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)<sup>21</sup> which scores ranging from 0 to 15. The cut-off point of 5/6 was used, where a GDS score of 0-5 indicated for normal/ no depression, meanwhile score of 6-15 indicated of depression. The GDS-15 is a reliable and valid screening tool among community-dwelling older adults.<sup>22</sup> For the present study, GDS-15 showed acceptable internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha = 0.64. The score of the Lubben Social Network-6, MOS-SSS, Religiosity Intrinsic-Extrinsic scale and GDS-15 were used as continuous variables.

Descriptive analysis was conducted to describe the sociodemographic characteristic. Mean and standard deviations were reported for continuous data such as depression, social network, social support and religiosity. In this study, bivariate analyses used were Chi-squared tests and Pearson correlation. Chi-square was used to test whether categorical variables was related to depression. Pearson correlation was used to test whether continuous variables was related to depression. For this study, a multiple linear regression was used to elucidate the various risk factors influencing depression.

Exploratory data analyses conducted to test for skewness, normality, linearity, homoscedasticity and multicollinearity of the variables showed acceptable level to run further analyses.

### RESULTS

Among our 594 elderly respondents, their age ranged from 62 to 74 years, with a mean of  $68.7\pm6.1$  years. The majority of the respondents were females (54.2%), Malays (78.8%), married (58.6%), Muslim (79.1%) and retired (76.4%).

# Original Article

Age year         Juil UP         Juil UP         Juil UP         68.65         6.08           60-69         355         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         59.80         35.30         <	Variables	Total (n)/	Percentage (%)	Mean	SD
Not of eg         355         59,80         Not of eg           70-79         210         35,30         29         4.90           Gender         229         4.90         1         1         1           Male         229         4.50         1         1         1         1           Male         222         54.20         1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	Age .vear			68.65	6.08
70-73       210       35.30         29       4.90         Gender       272         Male       272         Female       322         54.20       54.20         Ethnicity       70.73         Malay       468         70.73       75.80         Chinese       90         Indian       33         0.00       55.00         Diverse       30         Not Schooling       108         Primary       327         Secondary       120         Tertiary       39         6.50       13         Single       6         Married       348         Divorced       13         Widowed       227         Baan       470         75       12.00         Widowed       27         Single       6         Baan       470         75       75.00         Buddha       14         140       2.40         Buddha       140         27       4.50         Others       8         8       76.40<	60-69	355	59.80		
J80         29         4.90           Gender Male Female         272         45.80           Mala Penale         272         45.80           Ethnicity         322         54.20           Malay         468         78.80           Chinese         90         15.20           Indian         33         5.60           Others         33         0.40           Education         Not Schooling         108         18.20           Primary         327         55.10         20.20           Secondary         120         20.20         20.20           Tetrainy         39         6.50         38.20           Marital status         6         1.00         38.20           Single         6         1.00         38.20         2.20           Widdowed         227         38.20         2.20         2.20           Religion         470         79.10         75         12.60           Buddha         75         12.60         74         140         240           Buddha         75         12.60         76.40         25.60           Morking         140         23.60         76.4	70-79	210	35.30		
Stock         LS         LS         LS           Gender Male Female         272         45.80         100           Male Female         322         54.20         100           Ethnicity Malay         468         78.80         15.20           Indian         33         5.60         15.20           Indian         33         5.60         15.20           Indian         33         5.60         100           Others         3         0.40         15.20           Education	>/80	29	4 90		
Gender Male Female27245.80 322Malay Female32245.80 322Ethnicity Malay Chinese Indian Others46878.80 78.80 15.20 33Budden9015.20 33Others335.60 30Primary Secondary Tertiary108 32718.20 20.20 	2100	25			
Male Female         272 322         45.80 54.20           Ethnicity Malay Chinese Indian Others         468 90         78.80 75.20 15.20 15.20 15.20           Indian Others         33 0.40           Education Warking Secondary Tertiary         108 327         18.20 20.20           Primary Secondary Tertiary         107 39         18.20 6.50           Marital status Single         6 1.00 0 Aarried         108 348         18.20 20.20           Marital status Single         6 1.00 0 Aarried         108 348         18.20 2.20           Marital status Single         6 1.00 0 Hores         100 2.20         100 2.20           Marital status Single         6 1.00 0 Hores         100 2.20         100 2.20           Status Widowed         227         38.20         100 2.20           Biam Marited         470 7.5         7.12.60 7.640         100 7.5           Employment Status Working Not Working         140 2.50 7.640         23.60 7.640         867.31         994.10           Extimated Monthly Income (RM) More Alone         74 7.50         12.50 7.50         867.31         994.10	Gender				
Female         322         54.20           Ethnicity Malay Chinese Indian Others         468 78.80 15.20 15.20 33 0.40         78.80 15.20 15.20 33 0.40           Education Not Schooling Primary Secondary Tertiary         327 327 327 55.10 22.20 02.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20.20,20 20,20,20,20 20,20,20 20,20,20 20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20,20,20 20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,2	Male	272	45.80		
Ethnicity Malay Chinese Indian Others468 90 90 3378.80 15.20 30 33Education Not Schooling Primary Secondary Tertiary90 108 227 3918.20 20.20 6.50Marital status Single Marital status Marital status Single Divorced Widowed6 1.00 13 2.27 3990 6.50Religion Islam Christian Bidam Others6 1.00 1.3 2.20 2.2790 3.600Religion Bidam Others6 1.00 1.3 2.20 2.2790 3.00Religion bidam Others6 3.60 3.00904.10Employment Status Working Not Working Not Working140 140 2.560 3.0023.60 7.640867.31 994.10	Female	322	54.20		
Ethnicity $  -$ Malay46878.80Chinese9015.20Indian335.60Others30.40Education $ -$ Not Schooling10818.20Primary32755.10Secondary12020.20Tertiary396.50Martied status $-$ Single61.00Married34858.60Divorced132.20Widowed22738.20Religion47079.10Islam142.40Biddha7512.60Hindu274.50Others81.40Employment Status140Working14023.60Not Working7412.50More7412.50					
Malay Chinese         468         78.80           Othrese         90         15.20           Indian         33         5.60           Others         3         0.40           Education         8         8         18.20           Primary         108         18.20         19.40           Primary         120         20.20         19.40           Secondary         120         20.20         19.40           Tertiary         39         6.50         19.40           Marial status Single         6         1.00         10.40           Married         348         58.60         19.40           Divorced         13         2.20         20.20           Widowed         227         38.20         14.40           Budha         75         12.60         14.40           Budha         75         12.60         14.40           Budha         75.45.00         14.40         23.60           Others         8         1.40         23.60           Not Working         454         76.40         40.60           Not Working         74         12.50         40.60           Mo	Ethnicity				
Chinese Indian         90         15.20 33         15.20 5.60           Marital Status         108         18.20 7 minary         108         18.20 20.20           Marital Status         39         6.50         100           Marital Status         6         1.00 348         100           Single         6         1.00 133         2.20           Marital Status         58.60         1.00 190 cred         120           Single         6         1.00 133         2.20           Widowed         127         38.20         140           Biddha         75         12.60         140           Buddha         75         12.60         140           Buddha         75         12.60         140           Hindu         277         4.50         140           Others         8         1.40         140           Employment Status Working         140         23.60         867.31         994.10           Estimated Monthly Income (RM)         74         12.50         140         140           Living Arrangement Alone         74         12.50         140         140         140	Malay	468	78.80		
Indian Others335.60 0.40Education Not Schooling Primary Secondary Tertiary10818.20 20.20Marital status Single12020.20 6.50Marital status Single61.00 348Marital status Divorced Widowed22738.20Religion Islam Duddha7512.60 1.40Religion Hindu Others14023.60 75Buddha Hindu Others14023.60 75Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone7412.50 74	Chinese	90	15.20		
Others30.40Education Not Schooling Primary Secondary Tertiary108 327 327 327 327 327 32818.20 20.20 20.20Marital status Single Married Divorced Widowed6 348 348 227 38.20100 38.20Religion Islam Christian Buddha Hindu Others75 8 140 277900 23.60 454900 23.60Employment Status Working Not Working140 45423.60 76.40867.31 994.10994.10	Indian	33	5.60		
EducationImage: Construction of the const	Others	3	0.40		
Education         -					
Not Schooling Primary Secondary Tertiary         108 327         18.20 55.10 20.20           Marital status Single Married Divorced         6         1.00 348         58.60 2.27         1.00           Married Divorced         348         58.60 2.27         1.00         1.00         1.00           Religion Islam Udha Buddha Hindu Others         470         79.10         1.00         1.00         1.00           Religion Islam         470         79.10         1.00          1.00         1.00	Education				
Primary Secondary Tertiary         327 120 39         55.10 20.20 6.50           Marital status Single Married         6 348         1.00 348         58.60 58.60           Married         348         58.60         1.00           Worked         227         38.20         8         1.00           Religion (Slam         470         79.10         1.00         1.00           Christian Buddha         14         2.40         1.00         1.00           Employment Status Working Not Working         140         23.60         867.31         994.10           Living Arrangement Alone         74         12.50         867.31         994.10	Not Schooling	108	18.20		
Secondary Tertiary         120 39         20.20 20.20 500           Marital status         0         0           Single         6         1.00 348         58.60 227         0           Married         348         58.60 227         0         0           Widowed         227         38.20         0         0           Religion Islam         470 75         79.10 12.60 450         0         0         0           Buddha Hindu         27         4.50 8         0	Primary	327	55.10		
Tertiary10100Marital status6100Single61.00Married34858.60Divorced132.20Widowed22738.20Religion142.40Islam142.40Buddha7512.60Hindu274.50Others81.40Employment Status14023.60Working14023.60Not Working45476.40Living Arrangement7412.50Alone7412.50With Enrith Momber7412.50With Enrith Momber7412.50	Secondary	120	20.20		
Marital status61.00Single61.00Married34858.60Divorced132.20Widowed22738.20Religion142.40Islam47079.10Christian142.40Buddha7512.60Hindu274.50Others81.40Employment Status14023.60Working14023.60Not Working45476.40Living Arrangement7412.50Alone7457.50	Tertiary	39	6.50		
Marital status Single61.00Married34858.60Divorced132.20Widowed22738.20Religion Islam47079.10Sham47079.10Christian142.40Buddha7512.60Hindu274.50Others81.40Employment Status Working14023.60Not Working14023.60Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone7412.50With Eamily Mamber7312.50	leiday		0.50		
Single Married Divorced Widowed61.00Married Divorced Widowed34858.6022738.20Religion Islam Christian Buddha Hindu Others7514 Buddha Hindu Others274.50 Others8Employment Status Working140 23.60 454Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone867.31994.10	Marital status				
Married Divorced Widowed         348 13 227         58.60 38.20           Religion Islam Christian Buddha Hindu         470 75         79.10 79.10           Religion Islam Christian Buddha Hindu         470 75         79.10 74.50           Others         8         1.40           Employment Status Working Not Working         140 27         23.60 76.40           Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone         74         12.50 93.50	Sinale	6	1.00		
Divorced Widowed       13 227       2.20 38.20         Religion Islam Christian Buddha Hindu Others       470 14 27       79.10 79.10 12.60         Employment Status Working Not Working       140 27       23.60 76.40         Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone       74       12.50 76.40	Married	348	58.60		
Widowed         227         38.20           Religion Islam         470         79.10           Christian         14         2.40           Buddha         75         12.60           Hindu         27         4.50           Others         8         1.40           Employment Status Working Not Working         140         23.60           Estimated Monthly Income (RM)         867.31         994.10           Living Arrangement Alone         74         12.50           With Family Mombor         74         57.50	Divorced	13	2.20		
Religion Islam47079.10Slam47079.10Christian142.40Buddha7512.60Hindu274.50Others81.40Employment Status Working14023.60Not Working14023.60Not Working45476.40Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone7412.50With Family Member7412.50	Widowed	227	38.20		
Religion Islam47079.10 79.10Christian Buddha142.40 75Buddha7512.60 4.50Hindu Others274.50 8Employment Status Working140 45423.60 76.40867.31994.10Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone7412.50 97.50867.31994.10			20.20		
Islam       470       79.10         Christian       14       2.40         Buddha       75       12.60         Hindu       27       4.50         Others       8       1.40         Employment Status       140       23.60         Working       140       23.60         Not Working       454       76.40         Estimated Monthly Income (RM)       867.31       994.10         Living Arrangement       74       12.50         Alone       74       12.50	Religion				
Christian       14       2.40         Buddha       75       12.60         Hindu       27       4.50         Others       8       1.40         Employment Status       140       23.60         Working       140       23.60         Not Working       454       76.40         Estimated Monthly Income (RM)       867.31       994.10         Living Arrangement       74       12.50         With Family Mamber       F30       87.50	Islam	470	79.10		
Buddha         75         12.60           Hindu         27         4.50           Others         8         1.40           Employment Status         23.60         8           Working         140         23.60           Not Working         454         76.40           Estimated Monthly Income (RM)         867.31         994.10           Living Arrangement         74         12.50           With Family Mamber         F30         87.50	Christian	14	2.40		
Hindu Others274.50Others81.40Employment Status Working14023.60Not Working45476.40Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone867.31994.10Living Arrangement Alone7412.50With Family MemberF3087.50	Buddha	75	12.60		
Initial OthersInitial InitialInitial InitialOthers81.40Employment Status Working14023.60Not Working45476.40Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone867.31994.10Living Arrangement Alone7412.50With Family MemberF3087.50	Hindu	27	4 50		
Employment Status Working14023.60Not Working14023.60Not Working45476.40Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone867.31994.10	Others	8	1 40		
Employment Status Working Not Working14023.60140Status14023.6014045476.40867.31994.10Living Arrangement Alone7412.50With Family MemberF3087.50	others	5	1.40		
Working Not Working         140         23.60           Setimated Monthly Income (RM)         454         76.40           Estimated Monthly Income (RM)         867.31         994.10           Living Arrangement Alone         74         12.50           With Family Member         F30         87.50	Employment Status				
Not Working45476.40Estimated Monthly Income (RM)867.31994.10Living Arrangement Alone7412.50With Family MemberF3087.50	Working	140	23.60		
Estimated Monthly Income (RM) Living Arrangement Alone With Family Member	Not Working	454	76.40		
Estimated Monthly Income (RM)     867.31     994.10       Living Arrangement     74     12.50       Alone     74     12.50					
Living Arrangement Alone 74 12.50	Estimated Monthly Income (RM)			867.31	994.10
Alone 74 12.50	Living Arrangement				
With Family Member 520 87 F0	Alone	74	12.50		
	With Family Member	520	87.50		

# Table I: Socio-demographic characteristics of the study subject

# Table II: Mean and standard deviation of the study variables

Variable	Mean	SD	
Social Network			
Total social network	28.87	18.23	
Family network	9.99	9.24	
Friend network	18.88	12.97	
Social Support			
Total social support	39.42	14.76	
Emotional and informational support	16.07	7.07	
Tangible support	8.22	3.51	
Affectionate support	6.74	2.40	
Positive social interaction support	8.40	3.32	
Religiosity			
Total religiosity	54.57	6.76	
Intrinsic religiosity	27.27	3.63	
Extrinsic personal	13.62	2.88	
Extrinsic social	13.68	3.40	
Depression	2.66	2.14	

Variables	No depression (%)(n=538)	Depression (%) (n=56)	P-value
Age ,year			0.645
<62.57	94(90.4)	10(9.6)	
62.58-68.65	202(91.4)	19(8.6)	
68.66-74.73	144(91.7)	13(8.3)	
>74.74	98(87.5)	14(12.5)	
Gender			0.031
Male	254(93.4)	18(6.6)	
Female	284(88.2)	38(11.8)	
Ethnicity			0.740
Malay	426(91.0)	42(9.0)	
Chinese	79(87.8)	11(12.2)	
Indian	30(90.9)	3(9.1)	
Others	3(100.0)	0(0.0)	
Education			0.068
Not Schooling	89(82.4)	19(17.6)	
Primary	299(91.4)	28(8.6)	
Secondary	112(93.3)	8(6.7)	
Tertiary	38(97.4)	1(2.6)	
Marital status			0.063
Single	6(100.0)	0(0.0)	
Married	320(92.0)	28(8.0)	
Divorced	12(92.3)	1(7.7)	
Widowed	200(88.1)	27(11.9)	
Religion			0.298
Islam	428(91.1)	42(8.9)	
Christian	11(78.6)	3(21.4)	
Buddha	68(90.7)	7(9.3)	
Hindu	25(92.6)	2(7.4)	
Others	6(75.0)	2(25.0)	
Employment Status			0.290
Working	130(92.9)	10(7.1)	
Not Working	408(89.9)	46(10.1)	
Living Arrangement			0.390
Alone	65(87.8)	9(12.2)	
With Family Member	473(91.0)	47(9.0)	

# Table IV: Correlation of the socio-demographic factors (continuous variables) social network, social support, religiosity and depression

Variables	Mean	±SD	Pearson correlation	p-value
Age (years)	68.7	6.1	0.163**	<0.001
Income (RM)	867.31	994.1	-0.234**	<0.001
Total social network	28.9	18.2	-0.154**	0.000
Total social support	39.4	14.8	-0.128**	0.002
Total religiosity	54.5	6.8	-0.119**	0.004

Note: \*\* <0.01

## Table V: Result of multiple regression to predict depression of older respondents with experienced life events

Predictor Variables					Collinearity Statistics
	В	Std. Error	Sig.	β	VIF
(Constant)	2.115	1.269		0.096	
Age	0.041	0.014	0.117	0.003	1.037
income	0.000	0.000	-0.196	<0.001	1.052
total social network	-0.001	0.005	-0.095	0.014	1.153
total social support	-0.005	0.007	-0.036	0.435	1.386
total religiosity	-0.026	0.014	-0.081	0.056	1.252

F=12.11 R2=0.64

Majority of respondents had low level of education (73.3%), with 55.1% with primary school level and 18.2% had no formal schooling. The estimated monthly income was RM867.31±994.10 with most of the respondent staying with family members (87.5%), and only 12.5% staying alone (Table I).

Table II summarises the mean and standard deviation (SD) of the social network, social support, religiosity and depression. Based on the mean value of social network, respondents have larger friendship network (18.88) as compared to family network (9.99), made a total social network of 28.87. The mean value of total social support was 39.42. The results showed that the respondents received more emotional and informational support (16.07), followed by positive social interaction (8.40) and tangible support (8.22) and the lowest was affectionate support (6.74). Whereas, the mean score of total religiosity was 54.48, where the study indicated that the highest form of religiosity practised by respondents were intrinsic religiosity (27.27), followed by extrinsic social (13.68) and intrinsic personal (13.62). The mean score of depression was 2.66.

## Distribution of Depression of the Respondent

The result shows that 56 (9.4%) of the total respondents were probably depressed while 538 (90.6%) were non-depression.

# Association between Socio-demographic Characteristics and Depression

Chi square test, as shown in Table III, indicates that there were statistically significant relationships between gender (p=0.031), level of education (p=0.063) and depression. Meanwhile, results of Pearson correlation test in Table IV indicated that age was positively correlated with depression (r=0.163; p<0.001), and income was negatively correlated with depression (r= -0.234; p<0.001). These findings suggest that elderly who had experienced major life events will be more likely to develop depression with an increase of age and having lower income.

# Correlation of Social Network, Social Support, Religiosity and Depression

Pearson correlation test as showed in Table IV, showed that social network (r= -0.154; p<0.001), social support (r= -0.128, p=0.002) and religiosity (r= -0.119; p=0.004) were negatively correlated with depression among older respondents with experienced life events. These indicated that elderly who had smaller size of social network, lower social support and religiosity will have greater risk of depression.

# The Predictors of Depression among Malaysian Elderly Subjects with Experienced Life Event

Table V shows the results of the enter method multiple regression analysis utilising depression as the dependent variable. This variable was measured as an interval scale of measurement. The independent variables included age, income, social network, social support and religiosity which were also measured as continuous variables on an interval scale.

The model (F=12.18; p<0.001) was significant, the null hypothesis was rejected. Based on the enter method multiple

linear regression, three factors were found to be of significance in explaining the depression of the respondents. The three factors were age ( $\beta = 0.117$ , p=0.003), income ( $\beta = -0.196$ , p<0.001), and social network ( $\beta = -0.095$ , p=0.014).

## DISCUSSION

This study investigated the role of social network, social support and religiosity in influencing depressive symptoms among Malaysian older adults who had experienced major life events. Less than ten percent of the respondents had depression (9.4%). The prevalence of depression in the general population in Malaysia is about 8 to 12%,<sup>34,23</sup> thus this finding indicated a moderate level of depression among study sample.

Gender, age and income were significantly associated with depression. Risk of depression was more prevalent among elderly women (11.8%) compared to elderly men (6.6%). This result was in line with other local studies, that showed the prevalence of depression among Malaysian women were higher than men, where the ranged for women was from 8.3%3 to 12.1%.<sup>24</sup>

The correlation analysis showed that the social network, social support and religiosity of older adults had significant negative correlation with depression, suggesting that these variables could be the important factors in influencing depression. The same results were found in previous research which reported social network,<sup>25</sup> social support<sup>26</sup> and religiosity<sup>15</sup> are associated with depression in older adults. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the relationships of social network, social support, religiosity and depression among Malaysian elderly who had experienced major life events.

Social network, social support and religiosity as protective factors of mental health among adult population.27 Through social networking and social support, older persons are able to attain their social identity, new social contacts and material aids that will help serve their emotional needs.<sup>28</sup> This significantly allows an older person to get better social support that integrates supportive relationships which help older people to stay healthy and adapt better to stress.<sup>29</sup> Studies among older persons, indicate that religious beliefs contribute to the development of personal balance, in addition to providing better conditions for individuals to cope with their dependency and tendency towards isolation. In fact, Wilkinson and Marmot,30 established that access to better social network, social support and religiosity<sup>13</sup> constitute an important building block for healthy living among older persons who experienced losses of their loved ones.

The major findings indicated that social network, income and age were found to be the predictors depression. Even though social support and religiosity were correlated with depression, however, when covariates were added in the regression model, the estimated effect of these variables decreased and become non-significant, thus social support and religiosity did not improve the overall prediction. This is because other variables in the model did not uniquely explain depression as it overlaps in variance explained with social support and religiosity. Therefore, social support and religiosity were not predictors of depression among older persons. This is consistent with former studies that identified social network,<sup>31</sup> age,<sup>32</sup> and income<sup>33</sup> as keys indicators of depression. The possible reason for social network as predictor of depression was because older respondents in this study had larger network size of friend as compared with family network size. As majority of older people who had experience major life events have experienced losses. Thus, the smaller family network size has given the great impact in increasing the risk of developing depression among respondents. Income showed the strongest association with depression in the model. After retirement, most of elderly people will lose their main financial resources, thus increase the likelihood of depression. Older Malaysians depends on their pension, savings, investments or even money from their children to meet their financial needs.<sup>34</sup> Thus, unemployment gives rise to elderly's financial problems as in this study; respondents who are not working have highest prevalence of depression as compared with those who are working.

In spite of social network and income, this study also found age as the predictor of depression among older adults. Older people will most likely to experience chronic illnesses,<sup>35-38</sup> and physical disabilities<sup>39,40</sup> which increase the risk of depression. Tiemeier<sup>35</sup> found that depression is elevated in hyperthyroidism as well as hypothyroidism, suggesting that endocrine dysregulation may cause late life depression. In spite of thyroid diseases, older adults who have associated chronic illnesses such as cardiovascular diseases,<sup>36</sup> diabetes<sup>37</sup> and dementia<sup>38</sup> also greatly predisposed to experience depression in late life.

There are several limitations in this study. Firstly, the instruments used in this study only measure the available support and structure of social network, thus limit the researcher to capture the quality of relationships. Secondly this study is a cross-sectional study; therefore, statements about causality are not possible. Finally the respondents of this study were community-dwelling elderly in Peninsular Malaysia. Thus, the results of this study were not able to generalise to the elderly living in institutions and the states of Sabah and Sarawak.

### CONCLUSION

We found that less than ten percent of the respondents who had experience major life events had depression. Age, income and social network were found to be predictors of depression. Income had the strongest association with depression among elderly who had experience life events. Older persons who had experienced life events require certain adjustments in their life including better income and larger social network size in order to reduce the likelihood of depression.

The findings of the present study is limited to community dwelling older adults in Peninsula Malaysia only. Future investigation should measure the support received, reciprocity of support as well as duration of each support. While in social network, other studies need to consider respondent's contact frequency and quality of social network, which can create higher accuracy in measuring social network size.

#### **DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

#### ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

Ethics approval was obtained prior to the study [project number LRGS/BU/2012/UKM-UKM/K01] from the national Medical Research Register, Ministry of Health Malaysia Information sheets and consent were obtained from the respondents.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Long-Term Research Grant Scheme (LRGS), Ministry of Education Malaysia under Grant TUA-Neuro-protective Model for Health Longevity among Malaysian Elderly [project number LRGS/BU/2012/UKM-UKM/K01].

#### REFERENCES

- WHO factsheet on Depression. [cited 2018 March 22] Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs369/en/
- Schlein L. WHO: Depression Largest Cause of Disability Worldwide. Science & Health [cited 2017 February 23]. Available from https://www.voanews.com/a/who-depression-statistics/3737024.html
- 3. Sherina MS, Rampal L, Mustaqim A. The prevalence of depression among the elderly in Sepang, Selangor. Med J Malaysia 2004; 59(1): 45-9.
- Sherina MS, Rampal L, Maridah A, Nurhidayati H. The prevalence of depression among the elderly in an urban area of Selangor, Malaysia. International Medical Journal 2005; 4(2): 57-63.
- Strine TW, Kroenke K, Dhingra S, Balluz LS, Gonzalez O, Berry JT et al. The associations between depression, health-related quality of life, social support, life satisfaction, and disability in community-dwelling US adults. J Nerv Ment Dis 2009; 197(1): 61-4.
- Lee WW, Park JB, Min KB, Lee KJ, Kim MS. Association between workrelated health problems and job insecurity in permanent and temporary employees. Ann Occup Environ Med 2013; 25: 15.
- Parker M, Lee RL, Klemmack DL, Koenig HG, Baker P, Allman RM. Religiosity and mental health in southern, community-dwelling older adults. Aging Ment Health 2003; 7(5): 390-7.
- Masuchi A, Kishi R. A review of epidemiological studies on the relationship of social networks and social support to depressive symptoms in the elderly. Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi 2001; 48(6): 435-48.
- 9. Umberson D, Williams K, Powers DA, Liu H, Needham B. You make me sick: Marital quality and health over the life course. J Health Soc Behav 2006; 47(1): 1-16.
- Fehring RJ, Miller JF, Shaw C. Spiritual well-being, religiosity, hope, depression, and other mood states in elderly people coping with cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum 1997; 24(4): 663-71.
- 11. Koenig HG, McCullough ME, Larson DB. Handbook of religion and health. New York: Oxford University Press, 2001.
- Fiske A, Gatz M, Pedersen NL. Depressive symptoms and aging: the effects of illness and non-health-related events. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2003; 58(6): P320-28.
- Hardy SE, Concato J, Gill TM. Stressful life events among communityliving older persons. J Gen Intern Med 2002; 17(11): 832-8.
- Martire LM, Stephens MAP, Druley JA, Wojno WC. Negative reactions to received spousal care: Predictors and consequences of miscarried support. Health Psychol 2002(2); 21: 167-76.
- Siti Fatimah KM, Sherina MS, Rampal L, Firdaus M. Prevalence, associated factors and predictors of depression among adults in the community of Selangor, Malaysia. PLoS One 2014; 9(4); e95395
- Momtaz YA, Hamid TA, Haron SA, Bagat MF. Flourishing in later life. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2016; 63: 85-91.

- Vanoh D, Shahar S, Din NC, Omar A, Vyrn CA, Razali R, Hamid TA. Predictors of poor cognitive status among older Malaysian adults: Baseline findings from the LRGS TUA cohort study. Aging Clin Exp Res 2017; 29(2): 173-82.
- Lubben J. Assessing social networks among elderly populations. Family & Community Health: The Journal of Health Promotion & Maintenance 1988; 11(3): 42-52.
- Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. The MOS social support survey. Soc Sci Med 1991; 32(6): 705-14.
- Gorsuch RL, McPherson SE. Intrinsic/Extrinsic Measurement: I/E-Revised & Single-Item Scales. Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion 1989; 28(3): 348-54.
- Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. J Psychiatr Res 1983; 17(1): 37-49.
- İbrahim N, Din NC, Ahmad M, Ghazali SE, Said Z, Shahar S et al. Relationships between social support and depression, and quality of life of the elderly in a rural community in Malaysia. Asia Pac Psychiatry 2013; 5(Suppl 1): 59-66.
- Ng CG. A review of depression research in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia 2014; 69(Suppl A): 42-5.
- Sherina MS, Arroll B, Goodyear-Smith F, Ahmad R. Prevalence of depression among women attending a primary urban care clinic in Malaysia. Singapore Med J 2012; 53(7): 468-73.
- Sicotte M, Alvarado BE, Leon E, Zunzunegui M. Social networks and depressive symptoms among elderly women and men in Havana, Cuba. Aging Ment Health 2008; 12(2): 193-201.
- 26. Koizumi Y, Awata S, Kuriyama S, Ohmori K, Hozawa A, Seki T et al. Association between social support and depression status in the elderly: results of a 1-year community-based prospective cohort study in Japan. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2005; 59(5): 563-9.

- Pinquart M, Sorensen S. Influences of socioeconomic status, social network, and competence on subjective well-being in later life: A metaanalysis. Psychol Aging 2007; 15(2): 187-224.
- Walker KN, MacBride A, Vachon ML. Social support networks and the crisis of bereavement. Soc Sci Med 1977; 11(1): 35-41.
- House JS, Umberson D, Landis KR. Structures and processes of social support. Annual Review of Sociology 1998; 14: 293-318.
- Wilkinson R G, Marmot M. Social determinants of health. The solid facts. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2nd Edition, 2003.
- Thanakwang K, Ingersoll-Dayton B, Soonthorndhada K. The relationships among family friends, and psychological well-being for Thai elderly. Aging Ment Health 2002; 16(8): 993-1003.
- Aekplakorn W. Non-communicable diseases in urban communities. Siriraj Medical Journal 2010; 62(1): 36-38.
- Blay SL, Andreoli SB, Fillenbaum, GG, Gastal FL. Depression morbidity in later life, prevalence and correlates in a developing country. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2007; 15(9): 790-9.
- Arokiasamy JT. Malaysia's ageing issues. Med J Malaysia 1997; 52(3): 197-201.
- Tiemeier H. Biological risk factors for late life depression. Eur J Epidemiol 2003; 18(8): 745-50.
- Krishnan KR, Delong M, Kraemer H, Carney R, Spiegel D, Gordon C et al. Comorbidity of depression with other medical diseases in the elderly. Biol Psychiatry 2002; 52(6): 559-88.
- Engum A. The role of depression and anxiety in onset of diabetes in a large population based study. J Psychosom Res 2007; 62(1): 31-8.
- Alexopoulos GS. Depression in the elderly. Lancet 2005; 365(9475): 1961-70.
- Djernes JK. Prevalence and predictors of depression in populations of elderly: A review. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2006; 113(5): 372-87.
- Vink D, Aartsen MJ, Schoevers RA. Risk factors for anxiety and depression in the elderly: A review. J Affect Disord 2008; 106(1-2): 29-44.