Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry scan (DXA) findings in diabetic and non-diabetic female: A retrospective cohort study

Duaa Salem Jawhar, MSc¹, Nageeb Abdul Galil Hassan, Ph.D², Mohammed Shamssain, Ph.D³

¹Pharmacy Department, Saqr Hospital, Ministry of Health and Prevention, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates, ²Ajman University, College of Pharmacy, Ajman, United Arab Emirates, ³Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Osteoporosis is a silent disease which has an effect on bone structure. Studies on the association between bone mineral density (BMD) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) revealed conflicting results. We conducted a study to assess the prevalence of osteoporosis in females with T2DM and compare dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scan results between diabetic and non-diabetic females in the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively analysed hospital records and DXA scan measurements of 635 patients at tertiary hospital in Ajman, UAE. Patients with T2DM were compared to non-diabetic control group. Data were analysed using SPSS version 20. Student's t test was used for continuous variables, while chi-square test for categorical variables. Relative risk (RR) and it's 95% Confidence Interval (95%CI) were calculated for prevalence of osteoporosis among the two group.

Results: In all 141 patients in the diabetic group and 428 patients in the control group, while 66 patients were excluded based on exclusion criteria. Prevalence of osteoporosis was significantly higher in diabetic group (RR: 1.2, 95%CI: 1.1, 1.2). BMD and T-score values were similar in diabetic and control groups. Z-score values of lumbar spine, L1 and L3 were significantly higher in diabetic group. Obese patients have significantly higher BMD than non-obese in both studied groups. Younger diabetic patient had significantly higher value of BMD, T-score and Z-score in left femur total hip.

Conclusion: Although BMD and T-score values were similar between the two groups, women with T2DM had significant higher prevalence of osteoporosis.

KEY WORDS:

Osteoporosis, Type 2 diabetes mellitus, Bone mineral density, Emarati women

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is considered the most common metabolic bone disorder worldwide.¹ The inspection of osteoporosis as an international problem is anchored in the recognition of its

This article was accepted: 2 November 2019 Corresponding Author: Dr Duaa Salem Jawhar Email: dr.duaasalem@gmail.com;duaa.jawhar@moh.gov.ae spread across developed and developing countries,² and has been listed by World Health Organization (WHO) as a major non-communicable diseases.³ According to the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) audit in the Middle East and African region, 24% of people in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) have osteopenia while 2.5% suffer from osteoporosis.⁴ Measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) through dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scan is the most broadly validated technique for bone mass assessment.⁵⁶ The diagnosis of osteoporosis is confirmed based on the T-score of BMD.⁶ The International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) prefers the use of Z-score over T-score for diagnosis of osteoporosis in premenopausal women, men less than 50 years of age, and children.

The WHO criteria for assessment of BMD is based on classifying T-score into the three categories: "normal (T-score \geq -1.0), osteopenia (T-score between -1.0 and -2.5) and osteoporosis (T-score \leq -2.5)".² Fracture Risk Assessment tool (FRAX®) integrate clinical risk factors and BMD to provide 10-year probability of hip fracture and major osteoporotic fracture.⁸

Diabetes is among the most widespread chronic diseases in many countries, due to changes in lifestyles, associated with increase obesity and decrease physical activity.⁹ Based on international diabetes federation (IDF) 2017 update, there are 425 million people suffering from diabetes globally, and 1 in 11 adults in Middle East and North African region has diabetes. UAE ranked the 8th in Middle East and North African region in prevalence of diabetes, with more than one million cases of diabetes in 2017.¹⁰

The relationship between BMD and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is characterised by vast controversial, with variation toward higher,¹¹⁻¹³ normal¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and lower values of BMD in patient with T2DM.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ A better understanding of the effect of diabetes mellitus on bone architecture will help to improve prevention of fracture,²⁰ and international guideline in favour of patients. The WHO scientific group on the assessment of osteoporosis at primary health care level recommend further research work on secondary causes of osteoporosis.⁵ No study has looked at the association between osteoporosis and T2DM among Emarati (UAE) females.

In this study we intend to assess the association between osteoporosis and T2DM in females, with an emphasis on the identification of major characteristic of BMD, T-score and Z-score in female diabetic patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design, data source and exclusion criteria

This is a retrospective cohort study on female patients referred to DXA scan in X-ray department at tertiary hospital in Ajman, UAE between 24 July 2010 and 25 December 2012. All electronic records were reviewed, using the hospital database.

Patients were assigned index date; the time when the electronic record was opened in the hospital database. Demographic data and clinical history was collected from the index date until the end of study. Data reflect patients with confirmed diagnosis of diabetes during study period. The result of first DXA scan done for each patient was the one used in the analysis. DXA scan instrument was installed in the hospital in July 2010.

Exclusion criteria were: (1) individuals with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), (2) males (3) patients age <25 years and those who (4) lack electronic record in the hospital database. T1DM was identified as there is confirmed diagnosis in patient's record of diabetes mellitus, with medications restricted to insulin only.

Study participants

Patients with T2DM were compared with non-diabetic control group. We defined individuals as having T2DM according to the following criteria: (1) confirmation of the diagnosis of T2DM in patient record or (2) use of oral antidiabetic medications, or (3) a fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg/dl (7.0mmol/l) or a 2-h post-load glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl (11.1mmol/l) during an oral glucose tolerance test. Females were considered postmenopausal if: (1) age ≥ 50 years, or (2) age ≤ 50 years with documentation in her file that the patient is postmenopausal. Patient were identified as suffering from osteoporosis if: (1) there was confirmed diagnosis in their record, or (2) T-score ≤ -2.5 in the lumbar spine, femoral neck or total hip,²² or (3) receiving antiresorptive agents or bone-forming agent e.g., teriparatide.

Demographic data and clinical history

Hospital database contains details medical information including demographic information (gender and age), nationality, inpatient hospitalisation and visits to outpatient clinics, as well as laboratory result, requested X-rays, prescribed medications, diagnosis and contact information. Height, weight and BMI values were taken from Eazix software of DXA scan instrument (Osteocore 3 desintometer, Medilink Inc, France), and was interpreted according to the WHO criteria: BMI less than 18.5 as underweight, between 18.5 and 25 normal, greater than 25 overweight and above 30 obese.²²

Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry scan

DXA scan radiograph results were taken from Eazix software of DXA scan instrument. Lumbar spine (L1-L4) BMD, left femur-total hip BMD, T-score and Z-score results were collected. BMD values were expressed as absolute values in grams per square centimetre. T-score and Z-score were expressed as absolute standard deviation (SD) values and were compared in software to matched reference population supplied by the manufacture.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS version 20. Mean and SD were calculated for different continuous variables, and student's t test was used to compare mean values to test the significance level. Categorical variables are expressed as percentage, and chi-square test was used to assess the statistical significance level. Relative risk (RR) and its 95% Confidence Interval (95%CI) were obtained from cross-tabulation. The level of significance was at p≤0.05.

RESULTS

We identified 635 patients who had DXA scan between 24 July 2010 and 25 December 2012. Sixty-six patients were excluded based on exclusion criteria, giving a final number of 569 patients; 141 in the diabetic group and 428 in the control group. Mean follow-up period of patients was 35.66±11.63 months.

General characteristics of patients is shown in (Table I). Most patients were elderly, with mean age of 63.55±9.15 years in diabetic group, and 58.88±11.71 years in control group. Obesity accounts for high percentages in both groups, and generally patients were either overweight or obese. Mean BMI value in the diabetic group was 30.27±6.73 and 29.78±5.66 in the control group. It was noticeable that more than half the numbers of patients were from the UAE, with significantly higher percentages in the diabetic group ($p \le 0.001$). Additionally, postmenopausal females accounted for 92% from the whole sample. Prevalence of osteoporosis was significantly higher ($p \le 0.01$) in the diabetic group compared with the control group (RR=1.2, 95%CI: 1.1, 1.2), despite similar percent of patients with T-score \leq -2.5 in both groups. It is clear from Table II that BMD and T-score values are similar in the diabetic and control groups. Z-score values of lumbar spine, L1 and L3 were significantly higher ($p \le 0.05$) in the diabetic group than control group. Stratifying DXA scan output by age clarify different pattern of results shown in (Table III). Diabetic patients within age range of 40–49 years had significantly higher value (p≤0.05) of BMD, T-score and Z-score of left femur total hip compared to the control group. In addition, diabetic patients in the age range of 50–59 years have significantly higher value of BMD and Z-score in L3 region of spine than another group. There were roughly similar values of BMD, T-score and Z-score in patients ≥60 years of age in both groups.

Results of stratified patients according to obesity is shown in Table IV. Comparing obese patients with non-obese within each group showed significantly higher BMD values in obese diabetic patients at the lumbar spine, L3 and left femur-total hip. Likewise, BMD value in obese control patients were significantly higher ($p \le 0.001$) in all studied skeletal region than non-obese from same group. T-score and Z-score values were significantly higher in some skeletal site in obese diabetic patient compared to all skeletal sites in obese patients of the control group.

Table I: General characteristics of	patients in diabetic and contr	ol groups
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------

Variable	Diabetes	Control	Total		
	(n=141)	(n = 428)	(n = 569)		
Age (years)	63.55 ± 9.15	58.88 ± 11.71***	59.96 ± 11.45		
Height (cm)	158.44 ± 5.84	158.33 ± 5.51	158.36 ± 5.59		
Weight (Kg)	76.19 ± 18.34	75.02 ± 16.01	75.31 ± 16.61		
BMI (Kg/m2)	30.27 ± 6.73	29.78 ± 5.66	29.90 ± 5.94		
Emarati % (n)	74.1 (103)	58.2 (244)***	62.2 (347)		
Menopausal status					
Pre-menopausal % (n)	5 (7)	8.9 (38)	7.9 (45)		
Post-menopausal % (n)	95 (134)	91.1 (390)	92.1 (524)		
Fracture % (n)	9.9 (14)	9.3 (40)	9.5 (54)		
Osteoporosis % (n)	72.3 (102)	60.5 (259)**	63.4 (361)		
T-score ≤-2.5 % (n)	35.5 (49)	34.5 (146)	34.8 (195)		

Values are percent or means ± SD, * P≤0.05, ** P≤0.01, *** P≤0.001, BMI: body mass index

Table II: BMD	T-score and	7-score in	diabetic	and contro	laroups
	I-Score and	Z-SCOLE III	ulabelic		i yioupa

Variable	Diabetes	Control	Total		
	(n = 141)	(n = 428)	(n = 569)		
BMD (g/cm2)					
Lumbar spine (L1-L4)	0.89 ± 0.20	0.90 ± 0.20	0.90 ± 0.20		
L1	0.89 ± 0.36	0.86 ± 0.23	0.87 ± 0.27		
L2	0.89 ± 0.26	0.89 ± 0.24	0.89 ± 0.25		
L3	0.90 ± 0.28	0.90 ± 0.23	0.90 ± 0.25		
L4	0.95 ± 0.33	0.96 ± 0.31	0.96 ± 0.32		
Left femur-total hip	0.88 ± 0.18	0.90 ± 0.18	0.89 ± 0.18		
T-score (SD)					
Lumbar spine (L1-L4)	-0.72 ± 1.89	-0.71 ± 1.87	-0.72 ± 1.88		
L1	-0.30 ± 2.38	-0.21 ± 2.04	-0.22 ± 2.12		
L2	-0.69 ± 2.03	-0.69 ± 2.00	-0.69 ± 2.01		
L3	-1.03 ± 2.05	-1.04 ± 2.05	-1.04 ± 2.04		
L4	-1.04 ± 2.06	-0.81 ± 2.38	-0.86 ± 2.31		
Left femur-total hip	0.30 ± 1.57	0.45 ± 2.41	0.41 ± 2.23		
Z-score (SD)					
Lumbar spine (L1-L4)	0.94 ± 1.71	0.60 ± 1.84*	0.69 ± 1.81		
L1	1.29 ± 1.55	0.95 ± 1.86*	1.03 ± 1.79		
L2	0.91 ± 1.79	0.65 ± 2.05	0.72 ± 1.99		
L3	0.73 ± 1.89	0.33 ± 2.02*	0.43 ± 1.99		
L4	0.79 ± 1.98	0.51 ± 2.12	0.58 ± 2.09		
Left femur-total hip	1.66 ± 1.38	1.51 ± 2.07	1.54 ± 1.92		

Values are means ± SD, * P≤0.05, ** P≤0.01, *** P≤0.001, BMD: bone mineral density

Table III: BMD values in diabetic and control groups stratified by age

Variable	Age	LS		L	.1 I		L2 L3		.3	3 L4		LF-TH	
	(years)	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control
	40-49	1.03	0.99	0.99	0.94	1.02	0.99	1.05	0.99	1.05	0.99	1.09*	0.97
	50-59	0.96	0.92	0.91	0.88	0.95	0.91	1.01**	0.90	1.04	0.96	0.94	0.93
	≥60	0.84	0.84	0.87	0.82	0.84	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.89	0.94	0.84	0.83
	40-49 50-59	0.60 -0.02	0.12 -0.61	1.04 0.29	0.55 -0.06	0.74 0.04	0.33 -0.50	0.50 -3.37	-0.26 -0.97	0.11 -0.54	-0.22 -0.77	2.16* 0.81	1.04 0.92
	≥60	-0.02	-0.61	0.29	-0.06	0.04	-0.50	-3.37	-0.97	-0.54	-0.77	0.81	0.92
	40-49 50-59	1.00 0.94	0.53 0.37	1.47 1.22	0.92 0.82	1.13 0.89	0.99 0.46	0.94 0.71*	0.18 0.01	0.56 0.59	0.27 0.26	2.43* 1.59	1.35 1.68
	≥60	0.94	0.87	1.32	1.12	0.90	0.72	0.72	0.67	0.91	0.87	1.63	1.43

Table IV: BMD values in diabetic and control group stratified by obesity

Variable	Obesity	LS		L1		L2		L3		L4		LF-TH	
	status	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control	Diabetes	Control
BMD	obese	°0.94**	^b 0.97***	°0.91	^b 0.95***	°0.91	^b 0.95***	°0.96*	^b 0.98***	°0.98	^b 1.06***	°0.93**	^b 0.95***
	nonobese	0.85	0.84	0.88	0.78	0.87	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.92	0.87	0.84	0.85
T-score	obese	ª-0.20**	^b -0.01***	°0.05	^b 0.57***	°-0.34	^b -0.10***	ª-0.34***	^b -0.37***	° -0.61*	^b -0.01***	°0.65**	^b 0.93***
	Nonobese	-1.14	-1.34	-0.59	-0.91	-0.98	-1.21	-1.59	-1.63	-1.39	-1.50	0.01	0.02
Z-score	obese	°1.22	^b 1.21***	°1.65**	^b 1.61***	°1.12	^b 1.12***	°1.20**	^b 0.91***	°0.10	^b 1.17***	° 1.84	^b 2.01***
	nonobese	0.72	0.07	0.10	0.37	0.74	0.24	0.35	-0.18	0.63	-0.06	1.51	1.05

a vs nonobese diabetic, b vs nonobese control, * P≤0.05, ** P≤0.01, *** P≤0.001, BMD: bone mineral density, LS: lumbar spine, LF-TH: left femur-total hip

DISCUSSION

This study was conducted at a tertiary hospital in Ajman, UAE where local patients have insurance coverage. This may be the reason for high percent of Emarati (UAE) patients in our sample as shown in (Table I).

In 2017 UAE ranked as the 12th fattest country in the world, with prevalence of 37.2% of the population.²³ Study by Sulaiman and colleagues assessing prevalence of obesity and related non-communicable diseases in UAE, found that 75% of subjects were either overweight or obese.²⁴ These results confirm our finding about obesity and overweight distribution which was high in both groups.

Schwartz commented that increased body weight related with T2DM patients provides protective effect against fracture.²⁰ However in a Norwegian prospective cohort study by Meyer and colleagues found that diabetes mellitus gave important risk of fracture (RR: 5.81, 95%CI 2.15, 15.71) in women and (RR: 7.67, 95%Cl 2.40, 24.53) in men.²⁵ Also meta-analysis accomplished 16 appropriate case-control and cohort studies demonstrated increase risk of hip fracture with type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients, and researcher linked this to diabetic complications such as retinopathy and neuropathy which could increase risks of falls.²⁶ On the other hand Vamamoto and colleagues concluded in their study about vertebral fracture (that increase fractures risks in T2DM patients) is independent of BMD or diabetic complications, hypothesize about bone quality as predominant in fragility risk.¹³ They validated their hypothesis by their finding from another research, which showed that correlation between serum pentosidine level and presence of vertebral fracture.27 Pentosidine is glycation end product which affects collagen architecture leading to deterioration in bone strength and quality, regardless of high BMD value.27

Our finding supports previous studies partially, since we noticed that higher but non-significant fracture rate in diabetic patient, which may be related to inadequate sample size to detect a difference, or the inadequate follow-up period, or the short duration of being diabetic, or the good control of diabetes.

Regarding the prevalence of osteoporosis in T2DM patients, Viegas and colleagues demonstrated high prevalence of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women.²⁸ Al-Maatouq and colleagues presented similar finding in Saudi postmenopausal women.²⁹ In contrast Bartos and colleagues showed no difference in prevalence of osteoporosis between postmenopausal diabetic patients and control group.³⁰

Our results showed significantly higher ($p \le 0.01$) percent of osteoporotic cases in the diabetic group.

When comparing BMD and T-score values between diabetic and control groups, we found that both groups had approximately similar values. This result supports finding from previous reports by Sosa et al, Hampson et al , Tuominen et al and Ay et al.^{15,31-33} But came in opposite direction from studies that found higher¹¹⁻¹³ or lower values.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

Our finding of significant higher Z-score value in T2DM patients, support the conclusion of meta-analysis by Vestergaard when he noticed that pooled Z-score values from different studies elucidated increase spine and hip Z-score in T2DM patients.²⁶

Finding related to significant higher prevalence of osteoporosis in the diabetic group, despite similar values of BMD and T-score between both study group may be justified for physician to start patients on antiresorptive agents or bone-forming agent regarding Fracture Risk Assessment tool (FRAX®) result as recommend by A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American College of Physicians,³⁴ and which our study defined as osteoporosis.

Upon stratifying DXA scan output by age we noticed that younger diabetic patients had significantly higher value of BMD, T-score and Z-score up to of age 60 years. This finding gives us insight that (1) diabetes mellitus may have protective effect on bones up to certain age (60 years), or (2) the deterioration effect of diabetes mellitus starts after the age of 60 years. There is evidence from studies that insulin enhances bone formation.³⁵ Stolk and colleagues found that an increase in glucose and insulin levels lead to an increase in BMD values.36 Study by Barrett-Connor & Holbrook found that older woman with hyperglycemia had better BMD values than normoglycemic women.37 In addition, a study demonstrated that for each 10µU/ml increase in fasting insulin level there is an increase of 0.33 and 0.57g/cm² of the radius and spine BMD, respectively.³⁸ Previous studies support the first justification.

Schwartz commented about the effect of accelerated bone loss in elderly diabetic patients as a contributor of bone strength deterioration.²⁰ Study by Kwon and colleagues in T2DM patients observed that after the age of 55 years there was abrupt loss of bone in the lumbar spine ($p \le 0.05$).³⁹ These finding support the second justification. As far as we know, the present study is the first study assess the difference in BMD, T-score and Z-score between the age groups.

As shown earlier, when we stratified DXA scan results according to obesity status, obese patients showed higher value of BMD, T-score and Z-score. This support the findings reported by So and colleagues that obese patients had higher BMD value at lumbar spine and hip.⁴⁰ In conclusion, this research reported that most of the referred females for DXA scan were postmenopausal with high BMI values. Prevalence of osteoporosis was significantly higher among diabetic patients, despite similar values of BMD and T-score between the two groups. We noticed also that obese patients had significantly higher value of BMD, T-score and Z-score compared to non-obese patients, which suggests the protective effect of obesity on bone architecture.

We also recommend DXA scan examination as a follow up tool for diabetic patients beside regular assessment of glycaemic status and complication existence.

A retrospective cohort study

REFERENCES

- 1. Weiss M, Parisi Jun M, Sheth S. Clinical and economic burden of regularly transfused adult patients with β -thalassemia in the United States: A retrospective cohort study using payer claims. Am J Hematol 2019; 94(5): E129-E132
- De P, Mistry R, Wright C, Pancham S, Burbridge W, Gangopadhayay K, et al. A review of endocrine disorders in thalassaemia. Open Journal Endocrine and Metablic Disease 2014; 4: 25-34.
- 3. De Sanctis V, Elsedfy H, Soliman AT, Elhakim IZ, Soliman NA, Elalaily R, Kattamis C. Endocrine profile of β -thalassemia major patients followed from childhood to advanced adulthood in a tertiary care center. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2016; 20: 451-9.
- 4. De Sanctis V, Elsedfy H, Soliman AT, Elhakim IZ, Kattamis C, Soliman NA, et al. Clinical and biochemical data of adult thalassemia major (TM) patients with multiple endocrine complications versus TM patients with normal endocrine functions: A long-term retrospective study (40 years) in a tertiary care center in Italy. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis 2016; 8(1): e2016022.
- Ong CK, Lim SL, Tan WC, Ong EE, Goh AS. Endocrine complications in transfusion dependent thalassaemia in Penang Hospital. Med J Malaysia 2008; 63: 109-12.
- Habeb AM, Al-Hawsawi ZM, Morsy MM, Al-Harbi AM, Osilan AS, Al-Magamsi MS, et al. Endocrinopathies in beta-thalassemia major. Prevalence, risk factors, and age at diagnosis in Northwest Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2013; 34(1): 67–73
- Karuppiah D, Thimbirigaha Arawa S, Sivaganam J, Thirukumar V, Pragasam AA, Sivakanthan K. The prevalence of endocrinopathies among patients with thalassemia major in the district of Batticaloa, Sri Lanka. Sri Lanka Journal of Diabetes Endocrinology and Metabolism 2017; 7(2): 14-19.
- Wu HP, Lin CL, Chang YC, Wu KH, Lei RL, Peng CT, et al. Survival and complication rates in patients with thalassemia major in Taiwan. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2017; 64:135-8.
- Sharma S, Dutt N, Sidhu M, Digra S, Meenia R. Prevalence of hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus and delayed puberty in patients of thalassemia major in a tertiary care center of Jammu province, Jammu Kashmir, India. International Journal of Advance Medicine 2017; 4(3): 673-7.
- 10. Yaghobi M, Miri-Moghaddam E, Majid N, Bazi A, Navidian A, Kalkali A, et al. Complications of transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia patients in Sistan and Baluchistan, South-East of Iran. Int J Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Res 2017; 11: 268-72.
- 11. Belhoul KM, Bakir ML, Kadhim AM, Dewedar HE, Eldin MS, Alkhaja FA.
- 12. Shan P, Wu X, Zhang H. Bone mineral density and its relationship with body mass index in postmenopausal woman with type 2 diabetes mellitus in mainland China. J Bone Miner Metab 2009; 27: 190-7.
- Yamamoto M, Yamaguchi T, Yamauchi M. Diabetic patients have an increased risk of vertebral fractures independent of BMD or diabetic complications. J Bone Miner Res 2009; 24: 702-9.
- Bridges MJ, Moochhala SH, Barbour J. Influence of diabetes on peripheral bone mineral density in men:A controlled study. Acta Diabetol 2005; 42: 82-6.
- Ay S, Gursoy UK, Erselcan T. Assessment of mandibular bone mineral density in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2005; 34: 327-31.
- AL-Zaabi K, Badr HE, Mahussain S. Bone mass density in diabetic woman:is there a detrimental effect? Middle East J Age Aging 2008; 5: 12-7.
- Yaturu S, Humphrey S, Landry C. Decreased bone mineral density in men with metabolic syndrome alone and with type 2 diabetes. Med Sci Monit 2009; 15: 5-9.
- Karimifar M, Pasha MA, Salari A. Evaluation of bone loss in diabetic postmenopausal women. J Res Med Sci 2012; 17: 1033-8.
 Dutta LC, Pakhetra CR, Garg CM. Evaluation of bone mineral density in
- Dutta LC, Pakhetra CR, Garg CM. Evaluation of bone mineral density in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients before and after treatment. Med J Armed Forces India 2012; 68: 48-52.

- Schwartz AV. Diabetes Mellitus:Does it affect bone? Calcif Tissue Int 2003; 73: 515-9.
- Camacho PM, Petak SM, Binkley N, Clarke BL, Harris ST, Hurley DL et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College Of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines For The Diagnosis And Treatment Of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis - 2016. Endocr Pract 2016; 22(Suppl 4): 1-42.
- 22. World Health Organization. BMI classification. [cited May 2018]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?introPage=intro_3.html.
- Renew Bariatrics. Report: obesity rates by country 2017. [cited May 2018]. Available from: https://renewbariatrics.com/obesity-rank-by-countries/24.Sulaiman N, Elbadawi S, Hussein A, Abusnana S, Madani A, Mairghani M, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in United Arab Emirates Expatriates: the UAE National Diabetes and Lifestyle Study. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2017; 9: 88.
- Meyer HE, Tverdol A, Falch JA. Risk factors for hip fracture in middlle aged Norwegian woman and men. Am J Epidemiol 1993; 137(11): 1203-11.
- 26. Vestergaard P. Discrepancies in bone mineral density and fracture risk in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes-ameta-analysis. Osteoporos Int 2007; 18: 427-44.
- 27. Yamamoto M, Yamaguchi T, Yamauchi M. Serum pentosidine levels are positively associated with the presence of vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008; 93(3): 1013-9.
- Viegas M, Costa C, Lopes A. Prevalence of osteoporosis and vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes mellitus and their relationship with duration of the disease and chronic complications. J Diabetes Complications 2011; 25: 216-21.
- Al-Maatouq MÂ, El-Desouki MI, Othman SA. Prevalence of osteoporosis among postmenpausal females with diabetes mellitus. Saudi Med J 2004; 25(10): 1423-7.
 Bartos V, Jirkovska A, Kasalicky P. Osteopenia and osteoporosis in diabetic
- Bartos V, Jirkovska A, Kasalicky P. Osteopenia and osteoporosis in diabetic women over 40 years of age. Cas Lek Cesk 2001; 140(10): 299-301.
 Sosa M, Dominguez M, Navarro MC. Bone mineral metabolism is normal
- Sosa M, Dominguez M, Navarro MC. Bone mineral metabolism is normal in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Complications 1996; 10: 201-5.
- 32. Hampson G, Evans C, Petitt RJ. Bone mineral density, collagen type 1 α 1 genotypes and bone turnover in premenopausal women with diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia. 1998; 41: 1314-20.
- Tuominen JT, Impivaara O, Puukka P, Ronnemaa T. Bone mineral density in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes care 1999; 22: 1196-1200.
- 34. Qaseem A, Snow V, Shekelle P, Hopkins R, Forciea M, Owens D. Pharmacologic treatment of low bone density or osteoporosis to prevent fractures: A Clinical Practice Guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2008; 149: 404-15.
- Reid IR, Evans MC, Cooper GJ. Circulating insulin levels are related to bone density in normal postmenopausal women. Am J Physiol 1993; 265: E655-9.
- Stolk RP, Van Daele PL, Pols HA. Hyperinsulinemia and bone mineral density in an elderly population: The Rotterdam study. Bone 1996; 18: 545-9.
- Barrett-Connor E, Holbrook TL. Sex differences in osteoporosis in older adults with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. JAMA 1992; 268: 3333-7.
- Barrett-Connor E, Kritz-Silverstein D. Does hyperinsulinemia preserve bone? Diabetes Care 1996; 19: 1388-92.
 Kwon DJ, Kim JH, Chung KW. Bone mineral density on the spine using
- Kwon DJ, Kim JH, Chung KW. Bone mineral density on the spine using dual energy x-ray apsorption in patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. J Obstet Gynecol Res. 1996; 22: 157-62.
- So H, Ahn S, Song R. Relationships among obesity, bone mineral density, and cardiovascular risks in post-menopausal women. Kor